

ON SIKORA'S SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

DONALD W. BARNES

ABSTRACT. In his study of Poincaré duality of a space X acted on by a group G , Sikora uses three spectral sequences which he calls the Leray, the Leray-Serre and the Swan spectral sequences. I show that, if G is discrete and X is compact and Hausdorff, then the Alexander-Spanier cohomology versions of these three spectral sequences are isomorphic. If also X is HLC, then all versions of these spectral sequences are isomorphic.

1. INTRODUCTION

In his paper [11], Sikora establishes Poincaré duality properties for some spectral sequences associated with the action of a Lie group G on a space X . Let $EG \rightarrow BG$ be the universal G -bundle. Let $X_G = X \times EG / \sim$ where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation given by $(gx, e) \sim (x, g^{-1}e)$. Let R be a commutative ring. Sikora studied three spectral sequences associated with the bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X_G = X \times EG / \sim \\ & & \pi \downarrow \\ & & BG \end{array}$$

These spectral sequences are

- (1) The Leray spectral sequence of π for the constant sheaf $\mathcal{R} = R \times X_G$ over X_G . This is the composite functor spectral sequence for the functor $\Gamma_{X_G} = \Gamma_{BG \circ \pi_*}$, where Γ denotes the global section functor.
- (2) The Leray-Serre spectral sequence of π for the singular cohomology of X_G with coefficients in R .
- (3) The Swan¹ spectral sequence defined by Sikora to be the spectral sequence coming from the double complex $\text{Sw}^{pq} = \text{Hom}(P_p, C^q)$, where P_* is an RG -projective resolution of R and $C^\bullet$ is a cochain complex for the sheaf cohomology of the constant sheaf $R \times X$ over X .

Sikora defines and uses the Swan spectral sequence only in the case where G is discrete. It is effectively limited to this case as the use of an RG -projective resolution ignores any topology given on G .

Sikora has asked if the above spectral sequences are isomorphic. For a discrete group G , I show that, if the ring R is noetherian and X is a compact Hausdorff space, then the Alexander-Spanier versions of the Leray, Leray-Serre and Swan

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55R20.

Key words and phrases. Spectral sequences, sheaves, fibre bundles.

This work was done while the author was an Honorary Associate of the School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney.

¹R. G. Swan informs me that this spectral sequence was well-known before he adapted it in [14] for application to fixed point theory.

spectral sequences are isomorphic. If also X is homologically locally connected, then all versions of the spectral sequences are isomorphic. The Leray and Leray-Serre spectral sequences are defined for the cohomology of the total spaces of arbitrary fibre bundles. My analysis of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence requires that the bundle be definable with a discrete group of coordinate transformations, so does not answer Sikora's question for the spaces acted on by the circle group studied in his paper [11].

The Leray spectral sequence is defined for arbitrary sheaves over X_G . So that the Leray-Serre spectral sequence may be considered in comparable generality, in Section 4, I define singular and Alexander-Spanier cohomology with coefficients in an arbitrary sheaf. A version of this is to be found in Bredon [2]. The Swan spectral sequence can also be generalised. This is done using the concept of a G -sheaf, developed in Grothendieck [8]. I set out the relevant theory in Section 5.

I am greatly indebted to R. G. Swan for the substantial contribution he has made to this paper, pointing out errors in earlier versions, suggesting corrections, alternative approaches and improvements.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Let R be a noetherian ring and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf of R -modules over the paracompact space X . In Section 4, Alexander-Spanier and singular cohomology are generalised to cohomology with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$. A local cochain is a cochain of some open subset $U \subset X$ with coefficients in the module $\mathcal{A}(U)$ of sections over U . Taking germs of local cochains gives a sequence of sheaves over X . The cohomology with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ is then defined to be the cohomology of the sequence of modules of global sections of these sheaves. For each theory, we also have an fg version in which a local cochain is required to have all its values in some finitely-generated submodule of $\mathcal{A}(U)$. The following result is proved in Section 4.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a noetherian ring and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf of R -modules over the paracompact space X . Then both the Alexander-Spanier and the fg Alexander-Spanier cohomologies of X with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ are canonically isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose also that X is homologically locally connected. Then the singular and fg singular cohomologies of X with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ are also canonically isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Let G be a group acting on the space X and compatibly on the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over X . We call such a sheaf a G -sheaf. The equivariant cohomology $H_G^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ is defined to be the cohomology of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A} \times_G EG$ over $X_G = X \times_G EG$. The main results on this, proved in Section 11, are

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a discrete group and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -sheaf over the compact G -space X . Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is Γ_X^G -acyclic. Then $\mathcal{A}_G$ is Γ_{X_G} -acyclic*

and

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a discrete group and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -sheaf over the compact G -space X . Then*

$$H_G^n(X; \mathcal{A}) = R^n \Gamma_X^G \mathcal{A}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to compare the Swan, Leray and Leray-Serre spectral sequences associated with a space X acted on by a group G . The generalisations

to cohomology with coefficients in a G -sheaf were introduced to facilitate that comparison. The Leray and Swan spectral sequences are primarily defined in terms of sheaf cohomology. The spectral sequences from page 2 onward are independent of the choices of resolutions, so we can use the Alexander-Spanier or fg Alexander-Spanier resolutions. If we wish to use the singular or fg singular resolutions, we need the space X to be HLC. In Section 11, we prove

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a discrete group and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -sheaf over the compact Hausdorff G -space X . Then the Leray and Swan spectral sequences for Alexander-Spanier cohomology are isomorphic from page 2 onward. If also X is HLC, then the Leray and Swan spectral sequences for singular cohomology are isomorphic to them.*

For the Leray-Serre spectral sequence, the situation is more complicated. For each of Alexander-Spanier and singular cohomology, we have two generalisations, one using the full version of the cohomology, the other using the fg version. For most spectral sequence constructions, the effect of choices disappears at page 2, so we might expect the full and fg constructions to give the same spectral sequence from page 2 onwards, but I have not been able to prove this. Consequently, in the following theorem, which follows from Theorem 10.9, I have to specify the version of the sequence. In the special case of constant coefficients R considered in Sikora's paper [11], the two generalisations are the same.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a discrete group and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -sheaf over the compact Hausdorff space X . Then the Leray and the fg version of the Leray-Serre spectral sequences for Alexander-Spanier cohomology are isomorphic from page 2 onwards. If also X is HLC, then also the Leray and the fg version of the Leray-Serre spectral sequences for singular cohomology are isomorphic to them.*

3. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, all sheaves are sheaves of R -modules. Most of the results require that the base space of our sheaves be at least paracompact, (that is, Hausdorff and with every open covering having a locally finite refinement) so we assume this throughout. Where we must use a space constructed from other data, the necessary paracompactness is ensured by the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a discrete group. Then its classifying space BG is paracompact and locally contractible.*

Proof. BG is a CW complex. By Lundell and Weingram [9, Theorem II.4.2], it is paracompact. By [9, Theorem II.6.6], it is locally contractible. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $E \rightarrow B$ be a fibre bundle. Suppose that B is paracompact and that the fibre F is compact. Then E is paracompact.*

Proof. Let $\{W_\alpha\}$ be an open covering of E . Every W_α is a union of subsets of the form $U \times V$ where $U \subset B$ and $V \subset F$. As we may replace $\{W_\alpha\}$ by a refinement consisting of subsets of this form, we may suppose $W_\alpha = U_\alpha \times V_\alpha$. Take $b \in B$. As E_b is compact, it is covered by some finite collection $W_1, \dots, W_n$ of the W_α . Put $U_b = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$, and $W_{b,i} = U_b \times V_i$. Then $\{W_{b,i}\}$ is a refinement of the given covering of E . Consider the covering $\{U_b\}$ of B . As B is paracompact, it has a locally finite refinement $\{U'_\beta\}$. Each U'_β is contained in some U_b . Put $W'_{\beta,i} = U'_\beta \times V_i$. Then

$\{W'_{\beta,i}\}$ is a further refinement of the given covering of E . We show that it is locally finite.

Consider $e \in E$. Then $b = \pi(e)$ has a neighbourhood U which meets only finitely many of the U'_β . Thus e has a neighbourhood which meets only finitely many of the $W'_{\beta,i}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose G is a discrete group and that the G -space X is compact. Then X_G is paracompact.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, BG is paracompact, and, by assumption, the fibre X is compact. $\square$

To avoid trivial complications, we assume of any presheaf A that $A(\emptyset) = 0$. Following Swan [15], we denote the sheaf of germs of the presheaf A by LA . For a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over the space X , we denote the module of sections over the open subset $U \subseteq X$ by $\Gamma_U(\mathcal{A})$ or by $\mathcal{A}(U)$. We denote by $P\mathcal{A}$ the presheaf of these modules of sections. A presheaf A is the presheaf $P\mathcal{A}$ of a sheaf if and only if it satisfies the conditions

- (S1) **Assembly:** For every covering $\{U_i\}$ of U by open subsets and elements $u_i \in A(U_i)$ whose restrictions agree on intersections, there exists an element $u \in A(U)$ whose restriction to U_i is u_i for all i .
- (S2) **Locally zero:** The only locally zero element of $A(U)$ is 0.

For any presheaf A , there is a homomorphism $A(U) \rightarrow (PLA)(U)$. The kernel is the set of locally zero elements of $A(U)$. The homomorphism is an isomorphism if both (S1) and (S2) are satisfied.

For much of the following, we shall need the assumption that the base space of our sheaves is HLC, so I give the definition and some basic facts about HLC spaces here.

Definition 3.4. The space X is said to be *homologically locally connected (HLC)* if for every $x \in X$ and every neighbourhood U of x , there exists for each n a neighbourhood V of x , $V \subset U$ such that the map $i_n: \tilde{H}_n(V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(U)$ induced by the inclusion $i: V \rightarrow U$ is the zero map. Here $\tilde{H}_n(U)$ is the reduced singular homology group of U with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Note that, if $i_n: \tilde{H}_n(V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(U)$ is the zero map and $V' \subset V$ is another neighbourhood of x , then the map $i'_n: \tilde{H}_n(V') \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(U)$ is also zero. It follows that V may be chosen such that $i_r = 0$ for all $r \leq n$. Note also that the dimension 0 part of the condition is that every neighbourhood U of $x \in X$ contains a neighbourhood V such that every $x' \in V$ can be joined to x by a path in U . This is equivalent to the path components of U being open and so, to every neighbourhood U of x containing a path-connected neighbourhood. (Spanier [12, p. 103 Exercise A1].)

Later, we will be working with spaces which, at least locally, are products. So we investigate the HLC property in relation to products.

Theorem 3.5. *The product space $X \times Y$ is HLC if and only if both X and Y are HLC.*

Proof. Since in the condition for a space to be HLC, we may always replace a given neighbourhood by any smaller neighbourhood, we need only consider neighbourhoods W of $w = (x, y) \in X \times Y$ of the form $U \times V$ where U is a neighbourhood of x in X and V is a neighbourhood of y in Y . As a path from (x, y) to (x', y') in

$U' \times V'$ is a pair of paths from x to x' in U' and from y to y' in V' , the condition in dimension 0 holds for $X \times Y$ if and only if it holds for both X and Y .

Suppose X and Y are HLC. Let $n > 0$ and let U and V be neighbourhoods of $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Since X is HLC, we can choose neighbourhoods U_i of x such that $U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0 = U$ and such that the inclusions $U_i \rightarrow U_{i-1}$ induce the zero map $\tilde{H}_r(U_i) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_r(U_{i-1})$ for all $r \leq n$. We choose neighbourhoods V_i of y similarly. Put $W_i = U_i \times V_i$. Since the Künneth short exact sequence is natural, we have the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\bigoplus_{r+s=n} H_r(U_2) \otimes H_s(V_2) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} & H_n(W_2) & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & \bigoplus_{r+s=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_r(U_2), H_s(V_2)) \\
\downarrow \theta_2 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \psi_2 \\
\bigoplus_{r+s=n} H_r(U_1) \otimes H_s(V_1) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & H_n(W_1) & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & \bigoplus_{r+s=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_r(U_1), H_s(V_1)) \\
\downarrow \theta_1 & & \downarrow \phi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_1 \\
\bigoplus_{r+s=n} H_r(U_0) \otimes H_s(V_0) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_0} & H_n(W_0) & \xrightarrow{\mu_0} & \bigoplus_{r+s=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_r(U_0), H_s(V_0))
\end{array}$$

Since θ_i is zero on any summand with either of r, s non-zero and $r+s = n > 0$, we have $\theta_i = 0$. Likewise, ψ_i is zero on the summands with $r > 0$. Since $H_0(U_i)$ is free, $\text{Tor}(H_0(U_i), H_s(V_i)) = 0$ and it follows that $\psi_i = 0$. Thus $\text{im } \phi_2 \subseteq \ker \mu_1 = \text{im } \lambda_1$. As $\phi_1(\text{im } \lambda_1) = 0$, we have $\phi_1 \phi_2 = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $X \times Y$ is HLC. By induction, we may suppose we have U' and V' such that $\theta_r: \tilde{H}_r(U') \rightarrow \tilde{H}_r(U)$ and $\phi_s: \tilde{H}_s(V') \rightarrow \tilde{H}_s(V)$ are zero for $r, s < n$. From the naturality of the Künneth Formulae, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{r+s=n} H_r(U') \otimes H_s(V') & \longrightarrow & H_n(W') \\
& & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \mu=0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{r+s=n} H_r(U) \otimes H_s(V) & \longrightarrow & H_n(W)
\end{array}$$

where $\lambda = \bigoplus_{r+s=n} \theta_r \otimes \phi_s$. Since $\mu = 0$, we have $\theta_0 \otimes \phi_n = 0$. But $H_0 = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tilde{H}_0$. The map $H_0(U') \rightarrow H_0(U)$ is the identity $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and zero on $\tilde{H}_0(U')$. It follows that the map $\phi_n: H_n(V') \rightarrow H_n(V)$ is zero. $\square$

4. SHEAVES OF COCHAINS

In order to compare singular and sheaf cohomology, I consider sheaves of singular cochains following Swan [15, p. 28]. Swan defines these with coefficients in a locally constant sheaf. As the Leray spectral sequence is defined for arbitrary sheaves, I try to generalise to coefficients in arbitrary sheaves.

A locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is the same as a system of local coefficients². A singular n -cochain c with coefficients in the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ assigns to each singular n -simplex $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow X$, an element $c(\sigma) \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(v_0)}$. We denote the module of all these n -cochains by $S^n(X; \mathcal{A})$. As $\mathcal{A}$ is locally constant, any path in X from x_0 to x_1

²I use this only to motivate the definition of cohomology with coefficients in a general sheaf. The conditions (X locally path connected and semi-locally 1-connected) under which it holds need not concern us.

gives a map $\mathcal{A}_{x_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{x_1}$. This enables us to shift the values given by c on the faces of an $(n+1)$ -simplex to the first vertex and so define δc . We thus have the cochain complex $S^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ of singular cochains with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$. Following Swan, we obtain for each n , a presheaf S^n by setting $S^n(U) = S^n(U; \mathcal{A}|U)$. We form its sheaf of germs, which we denote by $S^n(X; \mathcal{A})$. First some facts about this.

Each $c \in S^n(X, \mathcal{A})$ gives a section ϕc of S^n by setting $\phi c(x)$ to be the germ at x of c . This clearly defines a homomorphism $\phi: S^n(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma S^n$. Now suppose $\gamma \in \Gamma S^n$. Each $\gamma(x)$ is the germ of some cochain $c_x \in S^n(U_x; \mathcal{A}|U_x)$ of some neighbourhood U_x of x . For each $y \in U_x$, we have the germ $g(y)$ of c_x at y , giving a section over U_x . The sections γ and g agree at x and so on some neighbourhood V_x of x . We thus have for each $x \in X$, a neighbourhood V_x of x and a cochain c_x of V_x such that the germ of c_x at any $y \in V_x$ is $\gamma(y)$. Our space X is paracompact, so the covering $\{V_x\}$ has a locally finite refinement $\{U_\alpha\}$ with cochains c_α of U_α agreeing with γ as above. Suppose $x \in U_\alpha$. Since c_x and c_α have the same germ at x , they agree on some neighbourhood $V_{x,\alpha}$ of x . Replacing V_x by the intersection of the $V_{x,\alpha}$ for the finitely many α with $x \in U_\alpha$, we may suppose that $c_x(\sigma) = c_\alpha(\sigma)$ for every α with $x \in U_\alpha$.

We now construct a cochain $c \in S^n(X; \mathcal{A})$. Let σ be any n -simplex of X . If there exist α such that $\sigma(\Delta^n) \subseteq U_\alpha$, choose any one and set $c(\sigma) = c_\alpha(\sigma)$. If no such α exists, set $c(\sigma) = 0$. Then the germ at x of c is the germ at x of c_x , that is, $\gamma(x)$. Thus ϕ is surjective. Clearly $\ker \phi$ is the submodule $O^n(X, \mathcal{A})$ of locally zero n -cochains. The locally zero cochains clearly form a subcomplex of $S^\bullet$. We thus have $\Gamma S^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}) = S^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})/O^\bullet(X, \mathcal{A})$. The argument of Swan [15, p. 88, Proposition 6] applies to give $H(O^\bullet) = 0$ and so $H(\Gamma S^\bullet) = H(S^\bullet)$.

Definition 4.1. The sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over X is called *fine* if, for every locally finite open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X , there exist endomorphisms θ_i of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the support $|\theta_i| \subseteq \bar{U}_i$ (closure of U_i) and $\sum_i \theta_i = 1$.

By Swan[15, p. 84, Proposition 3], the sheaf S^n is fine. Note that, by Swan[15, p. 75, Corollary to Proposition 5], fine sheaves over paracompact spaces are Γ -acyclic. We have a sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow S^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

If X is HLC (homologically locally connected) this sequence is exact. (I prove a more general result, Lemma 4.4 below.) Suppose X is paracompact and HLC. Since the S^n are Γ -acyclic, the sequence is a resolution which can be used to calculate the sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$. It follows that the sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ coincides with the singular cohomology of X with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$.

Now to generalise to sheaves which are not locally constant. The definition of $S^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ given above does not lend itself to generalisation to sheaves which are not locally constant, so I give an equivalent formulation, beginning with a simple but useful observation.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A \times U$ be a constant sheaf over U and let V be a connected subset of U . Then every section of $A \times U$ is constant on V . More generally, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a locally constant sheaf over the locally path-connected space X . Then any neighbourhood U of $x \in X$ contains a neighbourhood V such that every section of $\mathcal{A}$ over V is constant.*

Proof. A section over U is a continuous function into the discrete space A , so is constant on connected subsets. Any point $x \in X$ has a neighbourhood U such that $\mathcal{A}|_U$ is constant. But U contains a path-connected neighbourhood V of x . $\square$

In constructing germs of cochain with values in a locally constant sheaf, we can restrict our attention to neighbourhoods U_x of x such that $\mathcal{A}|_{U_x}$ is constant. Then a cochain of U_x is a function which assigns to each simplex of U_x a constant section over U_x . The tracking from one stalk to another required for the coboundary is now taken care of by the restriction maps. A general sheaf need not have such constant sections, so to generalise, we must allow arbitrary sections over U_x . If the neighbourhood U_x is not connected, the locally constant sheaf may have non-constant sections over U_x . However, if the space is HLC in dimension 0, then by Lemma 4.2, any neighbourhood U_x of x contains a neighbourhood V_x over which, all sections are constant. It follows that germs of cochains of U_x with values in $\mathcal{A}(U_x)$ are germs of cochains of V_x with values in the module of constant sections over V_x . Thus allowing arbitrary sections does not change our sheaves of germs.

For any sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ and open set $U \subseteq X$, we have the module $\mathcal{A}(U)$ of sections over U . We can form the cochain module $S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$. If $V \subseteq U$ is open, we have a restriction map $\rho: S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U)) \rightarrow S^n(V; \mathcal{A}(V))$ given by the restriction of the cochains to V compounded with the map $\mathcal{A}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(V)$. This clearly defines a presheaf and we can take its sheaf $\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ of germs. We have a coboundary operator $\delta: S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U)) \rightarrow S^{n+1}(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$ and so obtain a coboundary operator $\delta: \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{n+1}(X; \mathcal{A})$. We can then define the singular cohomology of X with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ to be the cohomology of the cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{S}^0(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{S}^1(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{S}^2(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is locally constant, this is the definition given in the discussion above. The sequence of sheaves $\mathcal{S}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ has an augmentation $\epsilon: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^0(X; \mathcal{A})$. Suppose $a \in \mathcal{A}_x$. Then a is the germ of some section s over some neighbourhood U of x . We can identify s with the function $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$ with $\sigma(u) = s$ for all $u \in U$. Define $\epsilon(a)$ to be the germ of σ . Then ϵ is clearly injective. Note that as $\sigma(\partial c) = 0$ for any 1-chain of U , the germ of σ is a 0-cocycle.

Bredon, in his second edition [2, section III.1], gives a different definition of singular cohomology with coefficients in a sheaf. He defines the singular cohomology of X with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ to be the cohomology of the cochain complex $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A})$ that is, of $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A})$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z} \times X$. As we will be working with sheaves of R -modules, we consider the complex $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}^n(X; R) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^n(X; R) \otimes_R \mathcal{A})$ where $R = R \times X$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf on X . Then there is a homomorphism*

$$i: \mathcal{S}^n(X; R) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A}).$$

The image of i is the set of germs of cochains $c \in S^n(U; A_c)$, where A_c is a finitely generated submodule of $\mathcal{A}(U)$. If R is noetherian, then i is injective.

Proof. An element of $\mathcal{S}^n(X; R) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$ is the germ of an element of $S^n(U; R) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}(U)$ for some open set $U \subseteq X$, thus the germ of $c = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes a_j$ where $c_j \in S^n(U; R)$ and $a_j \in \mathcal{A}(U)$. Let A_c be the submodule of $\mathcal{A}(U)$ generated by $a_1, \dots, a_k$. Then for every n -simplex σ of U , $c(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j(\sigma) a_j \in A_c$. Conversely, let A_c be generated by $a_1, \dots, a_k$ and let $c \in S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$ have all its values in A_c . Then,

for each n -simplex σ , $c(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j(\sigma) a_j$, where $c_j(\sigma) \in R$. Choosing such a representation for each σ gives $c_j \in S^n(U; R)$ and the germ of c is the image under i of the germ of $\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes a_j$.

That i is injective is essentially Cartan and Eilenberg [3, Chapter II, Ex. 2] $\square$

In view of this finite-generation property, I always assume from here on, that R is noetherian and identify $S^n(X; \mathcal{R}) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$ with its image under i which I denote by $S_{\text{fg}}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$. Note that $S^n(U; R) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}(U) \neq S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$ in general. The values of a cochain in $S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$ need not lie in any finitely generated submodule of $\mathcal{A}(U)$. However, the inclusion $S_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow S^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ induces an isomorphism of the cohomology modules, at least for paracompact HLC spaces for which, as we shall see in Theorem 4.8 below, both coincide with the sheaf cohomology. But the argument given above relating $H(\Gamma S^\bullet(X; A))$ to $H(S^\bullet(X; A))$ for constant coefficients A fails when applied to $\Gamma S_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; A)$ and $S^\bullet(X; R) \otimes_R A$. Assembling local cochains, each with values in a finitely generated subgroup of A , may give a global cochain whose values do not lie in any finitely generated subgroup. Indeed, if X has infinitely many path components and if A is infinitely generated, then $H^0(S^\bullet(X; R) \otimes A) \neq H^0(S^\bullet(X; A))$. Of course, if A is finitely generated, then $S^\bullet(X; R) \otimes A = S^\bullet(X; A)$. If the space X is compact, then the assembly puts together finitely many local cochains, so the result is again a cochain with values in a finitely generated subgroup and the argument works.

To simplify notation, I write $\otimes$ for $\otimes_R$ and Hom for Hom_R from here on. If operations over $\mathbb{Z}$ are required as in the proof of the next lemma, it will be indicated.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose X is HLC. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S^0(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow S^1(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow S^2(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. We have already seen that the sequence is exact at $\mathcal{A}$. We now show that the sequence is exact at $S^0(X; \mathcal{A})$. Let $\sigma \in S_x^0$ and suppose that $\delta\sigma = 0$. Then σ is the germ of some not necessarily continuous function $s: U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $s(u_1) = s(u_2)$ for any u_1, u_2 which are joined by a path in U . Now let $V \subset U$ be a neighbourhood of x as in the definition of HLC. Then every $v \in V$ can be joined to x by a path in U , so $s(v) = s(x)$ for all $v \in V$. Thus the restriction $\rho s \in S^0(V; \mathcal{A}(V))$ is constant and its germ σ is the germ of a section over V of $\mathcal{A}$. Thus the sequence is exact at S^0 .

Now consider $n > 0$. Let $\sigma \in S_x^n$ and suppose $\delta\sigma = 0$. Then σ is the germ of some $s \in S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$, $\delta s = 0$, where U is a neighbourhood of x . There exist neighbourhoods $U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0 = U$ of x such that the maps i_n^k, i_{n-1}^k in reduced homology induced by the inclusions $i^k: U_k \rightarrow U_{k-1}$ are all 0. In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{n-1}(U), A) & \longrightarrow & H^n(U; A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(U), A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{n-1}(U_1), A) & \longrightarrow & H^n(U_1; A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(U_1), A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{n-1}(U_2), A) & \longrightarrow & H^n(U_2; A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(U_2), A) \end{array}$$

the rows are exact and the outside vertical arrows are all 0. It follows that the composite $H^n(U; A) \rightarrow H^n(U_2; A)$ of the central arrows is 0. Taking $A = \mathcal{A}(U)$ and combining with the restriction $\mathcal{A}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U_2)$, we get that the restriction $\rho s \in S^n(U_2; \mathcal{A}(U_2))$ is a coboundary. Thus there exists $t \in S^{n-1}(U_2; \mathcal{A}(U_2))$ with $\delta t = \rho s$. Passing to germs, we obtain $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_x^{n-1}$ with $\delta \tau = \sigma$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose X is HLC and that R is noetherian. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^0(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^1(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^2(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}_x$, the germ of a section $s \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, we have the 0-cochain $c \in S^0(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$ given by $c(u) = s$ for all $u \in U$. This cochain has values in the 1-generator submodule $\langle s \rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}(U)$. Thus $\epsilon(a) \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^0(X; \mathcal{A})$. As ϵ is injective, the sequence is exact at $\mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 4.4, it is exact at $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^0$.

Now suppose $\sigma \in (\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^n)_x$, $n > 0$ and that $\delta \sigma = 0$. Then σ is the germ of some $s \in S^n(U; A)$, $\delta s = 0$, where A is a finitely generated submodule of $\mathcal{A}(U)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we obtain $U_2 \subset U$ and $t \in S^{n-1}(U_2; A)$ with $\delta t = s|_{U_2}$. Passing to germs, we have that the germ τ of t is in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^{n-1}$ and $\delta \tau = \sigma$. $\square$

Similar constructions are possible with Alexander-Spanier cochains. I put bars over symbols to distinguish Alexander-Spanier (A-S) objects from the corresponding singular objects. For any abelian group A , an A-S n -cochain of X is a function $c: X^{n+1} \rightarrow A$. Denote the group of A-S n -cochains by $\bar{S}^n(X; A)$. For a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$, we have the presheaf $\bar{S}^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$ and form its sheaf of germs $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(X; \mathcal{A})$. The A-S cohomology of X with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ is the cohomology of the cochain complex $\Gamma_X \bar{S}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$. For $\mathcal{A}$ locally constant, this is the standard definition of Alexander-Spanier cohomology. We put $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^n(U; \mathcal{A}) = \bar{S}^n(U; R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U)$ (assuming as always, that R is noetherian) and define $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ to be the sheaf of germs of this presheaf. Thus $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^n(X; \mathcal{A}) = \bar{S}^n(X; \mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and $H^\bullet(\Gamma_X \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}))$ is Bredon's definition of Alexander-Spanier cohomology with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$, given in [2, section III.2].

The sheaves $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ are closely, but awkwardly, related to the sheaves of the canonical flabby resolution of $\mathcal{A}$. The elements of that can be regarded as germs of functions of $n+1$ variables, $f(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{x_n}$, with x_i in a subset depending on $x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}$ and with $f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $x_1 = x_0$. This is discussed in Godement [7, Remarque 4.3.2].

Lemma 4.6. *The sequences*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}^0(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}^1(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}^2(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^0(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^1(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^2(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

are exact.

Proof. For any R -module A , the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{S}^0(X; A) \rightarrow \bar{S}^1(X; A) \rightarrow \bar{S}^2(X; A) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact. It follows that the sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}^0(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}^1(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}^2(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is also exact. A cocycle $s \in \bar{S}_{\text{fg}}^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$ with values in the finitely generated submodule $A \subseteq \mathcal{A}(U)$ is, when restricted to some smaller neighbourhood U' , the

coboundary of some $t \in S^{n-1}(U'; \mathcal{A})$. Passing to germs, we get that the second sequence is also exact. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *The sheaves $\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$, $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ are fine.*

Proof. Following Swan [15], let Δ be a space and let $v \in \Delta$ be a selected point. For singular cohomology, we use $\Delta = \Delta^n$, the standard n -simplex, $v = v_0$ its first vertex, while for Alexander-Spanier cohomology, we take $\Delta = \{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ and $v = v_0$. For the open set $U \subseteq X$, let $F(U)$ be the set of maps $\Delta \rightarrow U$ and let $T(U)$ be the R -module of all functions $F(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the sheaf of germs of this presheaf. We prove that $\mathcal{S}$ is fine.

Let $\{U_i\}$ be a locally finite open covering of X . Then each point $x \in X$ is in finitely many of the U_i . For each x , we choose one of these. Denote the chosen one by $U(x)$. Thus $x \in U(x) \in \{U_i\}$. To define the θ_i , we must define $\theta_i(s) \in \mathcal{S}_x$ for each $s \in \mathcal{S}_x$. But s is the germ at x of some function $c: F(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$ for some open set U . We define $\theta_i(c)$ and take $\theta_i(s)$ to be the germ at x of $\theta_i(c)$. To do this, for $\sigma \in F(U)$, we put

$$\theta_i(c)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} c(\sigma) & \text{if } U(\sigma(v)) = U_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then θ_i is clearly an endomorphism. If $x \notin \bar{U}_i$, then the neighbourhood U of x used above may be chosen disjoint from U_i ensuring that $\theta_i(c)(\sigma) = 0$. Thus $|\theta_i| \subseteq \bar{U}_i$. Further, $U(\sigma(v)) = U_i$ for exactly one i , so $\sum_i \theta_i(c)(\sigma) = c(\sigma)$.

Thus $\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$ are fine. By the above, $\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{R})$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{R})$ are fine. By Spanier [12, p. 331, Theorem 3], $\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ are also fine. $\square$

If X is paracompact, then a fine sheaf over X is Γ_X -acyclic. Thus $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ are resolutions of $\mathcal{A}$ by Γ_X -acyclic sheaves. If also X is HLC, then so are $\mathcal{S}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$. We now have:

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a paracompact space. Suppose that R is noetherian. Then $H^\bullet(\Gamma_X \bar{\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}))$, and $H^\bullet(\Gamma_X \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}))$ are canonically isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology $H^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$. If X is HLC, then $H^\bullet \Gamma_X(\mathcal{S}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}))$ and $H^\bullet(\Gamma_X \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}))$ are also canonically isomorphic to $H^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$.*

We now compare $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{S}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$. As we saw above, each element $c \in S^n(U; R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U)$ gives, for each singular n -simplex of U , an element of $\mathcal{A}(U)$ and so may be regarded as an element of $S^n(U; \mathcal{A}(U))$. This inclusion passes to germs, and we have an inclusion $i: \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^n(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A})$. Even for locally constant sheaves, this inclusion is not an isomorphism.

An Alexander-Spanier cochain c can be regarded as a singular cochain by defining for the singular simplex σ , $c(\sigma) = c(\sigma(v_0), \dots, \sigma(v_n))$. We thus have a diagram of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}) \end{array}.$$

Taking global sections gives a diagram of inclusions which, by Theorem 4.8, induce isomorphisms in cohomology if X is paracompact and HLC.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{C}$ be an exact sequence of locally constant sheaves over a locally path connected space X . Then the sequences*

$$\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{C}) \text{ and } \bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{C})$$

are exact.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{B})$ and suppose $\beta(g) = 0$. Then g is the germ at $x \in X$ of some cochain $b \in \mathcal{S}^n(U; \mathcal{B}(U))$ for some neighbourhood U of x , and the cochain $\beta b \in \mathcal{S}^n(U; \mathcal{C}(U))$ has germ 0. U may be chosen such that the restrictions of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ to U are constant. Thus for some neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of x , $\beta b|_V = 0$. Since X is locally path connected, there exists a path connected neighbourhood $W \subseteq V$. By Lemma 4.2, all sections of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ over W are constant sections. For any n -simplex σ of W , $b(\sigma)$ is a constant section over W and we have $\beta b(\sigma) = 0$. Since the sequence of constant sections over W is exact, for each σ , we can choose a constant section $a(\sigma)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ over W such that $\alpha a(\sigma) = b(\sigma)$. This defines a cochain $a \in \mathcal{S}^n(W; \mathcal{A}(W))$ such that $\alpha a = b$. The germ of a maps to g . Thus $\mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{S}^n(X; \mathcal{C})$ is exact. Similarly, $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}^n(X; \mathcal{C})$ is exact. $\square$

Note that the use of Alexander-Spanier cochains here does not obviate the need to assume that X is locally path connected (HLC in dimension 0).

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that R is noetherian. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^n(X; -)$ is an exact functor on the category of sheaves over X .*

Proof. This is essentially Cartan and Eilenberg [3, Chapter VI, Ex. 4]. $\square$

5. G -SHEAVES

Grothendieck in [8] introduces the concept of a G -sheaf, where G is a group. Note that Grothendieck uses “sheaf” to mean a presheaf which satisfies (S1) and (S2), and uses “espace étalé” for what we call a sheaf. The following coincides with his definition when this difference in terminology is taken into account.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a space on which G acts on the left. A G -sheaf over X is a sheaf of R -modules $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ over X on which G acts such that, if $a \in \mathcal{A}_x$, then $ga \in \mathcal{A}_{gx}$ for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. Thus G acts on $\mathcal{A}$ by fibre homeomorphisms.

Definition 5.2. Let $s: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a section of the G -sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ and let $g \in G$. We define the section gs by

$$gs(x) = g \cdot s(g^{-1}x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Observe that, for $g, g' \in G$, we have

$$(g(g's))(x) = g \cdot (g's)(g^{-1}x) = g \cdot g' \cdot s(g'^{-1}g^{-1}x) = (gg') \cdot s((gg')^{-1}x).$$

We thus have an action of G on the R -module $\Gamma_X \mathcal{A}$ of global sections of $\mathcal{A}$. Note that in the above, no topology on G is assumed. Requiring that the action be given by a continuous function $G \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ would force the action on $\Gamma_X \mathcal{A}$ of a connected group to be trivial.

We denote by I^G the functor from RG -modules to R -modules defined by $I^G(A) = A^G = \{a \in A \mid ga = a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$, the set of G -invariants. We denote by $\Gamma_X^G \mathcal{A}$ or $\Gamma^G \mathcal{A}$ the module of G -invariant sections of the G -sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. Thus $\Gamma^G \mathcal{A} = I^G(\Gamma \mathcal{A})$.

We now investigate the category of G -sheaves over X . A G -homomorphism $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of G -sheaves over X is a homomorphism of sheaves such that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$, we have $g \cdot f(a) = f(g \cdot a)$. We clearly have an R -module $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of G -homomorphisms.

Note that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -map of G -spaces, then the direct image $f_*\mathcal{A}$ of a G -sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over X is a G -sheaf over Y , and the inverse image $f^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ of a G -sheaf over Y is a G -sheaf over X .

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -injective sheaf over X and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -map. Then the direct image $f_*\mathcal{A}$ is a G -injective sheaf.*

Proof. The argument of [1, Lemma XIV.3.2, p. 157] applies. $\square$

To study derived functors, we need to know that every G -sheaf is embeddable in a G -injective sheaf. The following is roughly the argument given in Grothendieck and is a straightforward modification of the standard argument given for ordinary sheaves in Bredon [2] and in Barnes [1].

Let K be a not necessarily commutative ring. First, I consider a natural embedding $i: A \rightarrow J(A)$ of a K -module A in an injective K -module $J(A)$. Such exist. For example, put $A^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Then A^* is a right K -module with action $fk(a) = f(ka)$. Note that for every $a \in A$, there exists some $f \in A^*$ for which $f(a) \neq 0$. Let $K\langle A^* \rangle$ be the free right K -module on the set A^* . We then have a natural K -homomorphism $\eta: K\langle A^* \rangle \rightarrow A^*$. We can then put $J(A) = (K\langle A^* \rangle)^*$ and take i the natural inclusion $A \rightarrow A^{**}$ followed by the dual η^* of η . I now extend this functor J to G -sheaves.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -sheaf over X . The stalk $\mathcal{A}_x$ over x is an RG_x -module where G_x is the stabiliser of x . We embed $\mathcal{A}_x$ in the injective RG_x -module $J(\mathcal{A}_x)$ as above. Setting $J(\mathcal{A})(U) = \Pi_{x \in U} J(\mathcal{A}_x)$ defines a presheaf. Now let $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ be the sheaf of germs of this presheaf $J(\mathcal{A})$. This gives a natural embedding $i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ of G -sheaves over X into injective sheaves, (injectives of the category of sheaves) as this is a version of the standard construction if we forget the action of G_x .

The naturality of i ensures that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is also a G -sheaf. We want to show that it is an injective of the category of G -sheaves over X . Let X_d be X taken with the discrete topology.

Lemma 5.4. *The sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \Pi_{x \in X} J(\mathcal{A}_x)$ over X_d is G -injective.*

Proof. Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ & & \downarrow \phi & \nearrow \psi & \\ & & \mathcal{L} & & \end{array}$$

of G -sheaves, to construct the required $\psi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, we select one x from each orbit in X . We can then take an RG_x -module extension $\psi_x: \mathcal{B}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_x = J(\mathcal{A}_x)$ of ϕ_x . For another point $y = gx$ of the orbit, we define $\psi_y: \mathcal{B}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_y = J(\mathcal{A}_y)$ by setting $\psi_y(b) = g\psi_x(g^{-1}b)$. This is independent of the choice of g , for if $y = g'm$, then $g' = gh$ where $h \in G_x$. We then have

$$g'\psi_x(g'^{-1}b) = gh\psi_x(g'^{-1}b) = g\psi_x(hg'^{-1}b) = g\psi_x(g^{-1}b)$$

as required. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is G -injective.

Proof. As in Barnes [1, Lemma XIV.3.3, p. 157], we have $f_*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ where $f: X_d \rightarrow X$ is the identity on the underlying set. It follows that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is G -injective. $\square$

The sheaf $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is clearly injective. Thus any G -sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over X can be embedded in a sheaf which is both injective and G -injective.

Corollary 5.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -injective sheaf. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is injective.

Proof. $\mathcal{A}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$. $\square$

It is often convenient to calculate sheaf cohomology using resolutions by flabby sheaves instead of injective sheaves. It is clear that the sheaf $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{A}$ of germs of the presheaf $F(U) = \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{A}_x$ of not necessarily continuous sections is flabby and is a G -sheaf.

For any RG -module A , we have the constant sheaf $\mathcal{C}(A) = A \times X$ over the G -space X . This is a G -sheaf with the diagonal action $g(a, x) = (ga, gx)$ for all $g \in G$, $a \in A$ and $x \in X$. If X is connected, $\Gamma_X \mathcal{C}(A) = A$. We show that $\mathcal{C}$ is a left adjoint to Γ_X .

Lemma 5.7. Let A be any RG -module and let $A \times X$ be the constant sheaf over X . Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a G -sheaf over X . Then $\text{Hom}_G(A \times X, \mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{RG}(A, \Gamma_X \mathcal{S})$. This isomorphism is natural in both arguments.

Proof. The module A can be considered as a sheaf over the one-point space Y . Consider the map $f: X \rightarrow Y$. The pullback f^*A is the constant sheaf $A \times X$, while $f_*\mathcal{S} = \Gamma_X \mathcal{S}$. Thus the result is a special case of the adjunction

$$\text{Hom}_G(f^*\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{T}, f_*\mathcal{S})$$

for a G -map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and G -sheaves $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$ over X, Y . (It is clear that the adjunction $\text{Hom}(f^*\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, f_*\mathcal{S})$ respects the G -actions.) $\square$

From this, we immediately obtain ([8, Corollaire p. 198])

Corollary 5.8. If $\mathcal{A}$ is G -injective, then $\Gamma \mathcal{A}$ is an injective RG -module.

Proof. $\text{Hom}_G(- \times X, \mathcal{A})$ is an exact functor. By Lemma 5.7, $\text{Hom}_{RG}(-, \Gamma_X \mathcal{A})$ is exact. $\square$

We have $\Gamma^G = I^G \circ \Gamma_X$. By Corollary 5.8, the conditions for the composite functor spectral sequence are satisfied. Thus we have the theorem of Grothendieck [8, Théorème 5.2.1]:

Theorem 5.9. There exists a spectral sequence with target $R^\bullet \Gamma^G(\mathcal{A})$ and

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(G; H^q(X; \mathcal{A})).$$

This spectral sequence may be constructed by starting with any Γ_X -acyclic resolution $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{A}$, applying Γ_X and then using any I^G -acyclic resolutions of the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Z^q \rightarrow \Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^q \rightarrow B^{q-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow B^q \rightarrow Z^q \rightarrow H^q \rightarrow 0.$$

By Barnes [1, Theorem VII.1.2, p. 70], the spectral sequence is independent of the choices of resolutions from page 2 onwards. (The assumption in [1] that the $\Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^q$ are I^G -acyclic is not used anywhere in the proof.) The choices of resolutions can be made functorially using exact functors. This gives a spectral sequence constructor for (Γ_X, I^G) . By [1, Theorem X.5.4, p. 109], all spectral sequence constructors for (Γ_X, I^G) construct the same spectral sequence from page 2 onwards.

6. EQUIVARIANT SHEAF COHOMOLOGY

I copy the definition of equivariant (singular) cohomology to define equivariant sheaf cohomology.

Definition 6.1. The *equivariant cohomology* of the G -sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over the G -space X is the sheaf cohomology of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A} \times_G EG$ over the space $X_G = X \times_G EG$, that is,

$$H_G^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A}) = H^\bullet(X_G; \mathcal{A}_G).$$

Now consider the group of G -invariant sections $\Gamma_X^G \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an G -sheaf over the G -space X . Then*

$$\Gamma_X^G \mathcal{A} \simeq \Gamma_{X_G} \mathcal{A}_G.$$

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a G -invariant section of $\mathcal{A}$. We construct a section $f_G: X_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G$ by setting $f_G(x \times_G e) = f(x) \times_G e$. As

$$f(gx) \times_G e = g \cdot f(x) \times_G e = f(x) \times_G g^{-1}e,$$

f_G is well-defined.

Conversely, let $\phi: X_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G$ be a section of $\mathcal{A}_G$. Choose a point $b \in BG$ and a point $e \in EG_b$. Then $x \mapsto x \times_G e$ is a homeomorphism of X onto the fibre $(X_G)_b$. Similarly, we have a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ onto the fibre $(\mathcal{A}_G)_b$ using the same choice of e . The restriction $\phi|_{(X_G)_b} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_G)_b$ thus defines a section $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. A loop γ in BG at b , representing an element g in the fundamental group G of BG gives a map of $(X_G)_b$ to itself. This map is the action of g on X . Similarly, γ gives the action of g on $\mathcal{A}$ and it follows that $f(gx) = g \cdot f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus f is a G -invariant section.

It is clear that these constructions are mutually inverse isomorphisms. □

Lemma 6.3. *There exists a natural transformation $\eta: R^\bullet \Gamma_X^G \rightarrow H_G^\bullet(X; \cdot)$.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be an injective G -resolution of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_G^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_G^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is an exact sequence of sheaves over X_G . Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be an injective resolution of $\mathcal{A}_G$ in the category of sheaves over X_G . Then there exists a translation $t: \mathcal{Q}_G^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^\bullet$ over the identity, and all such are homotopic. Applying the functor Γ_{X_G} and taking cohomology gives the result. □

If the $\mathcal{Q}^n$ can be chosen such that the $\mathcal{Q}_G^n$ are Γ_{X_G} -acyclic, then the resulting homomorphism $R^\bullet \Gamma_X^G(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H_G^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$ is an isomorphism. In Section 11, I prove the Theorems 2.2, 2.3 that this holds if G is discrete and X is compact, but it may not hold in general. Note that except for trivial cases, $\mathcal{Q}_G^n$ cannot be flabby and so is not injective. To see this, suppose the stalk $\mathcal{Q}_x \neq 0$. We then have sections $s_1 \neq s_2$ over some neighbourhood U of x for which the corresponding $\pi|_{s_i}(U) \rightarrow U$ are homeomorphisms. Now BG is locally contractible, and we can take a point

$b \in BG$ and neighbourhood W of b such that its inverse image in EG is $W \times X$ and such that W is path-connected. Then $\mathcal{Q}_G \mid W \times X = W \times \mathcal{Q}$. We can take disjoint open sets $W_1, W_2 \subset W$ and a section $s: (W_1 \cup W_2) \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ which, on $W_i \times U$ is $W_i \times s_i$. This section cannot be extended to a section over $W \times U$.

7. THE SWAN SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Sikora defined the spectral sequence he calls the Swan spectral sequence only for a constant sheaf. The definition, reproduced in Section 1, is easily generalised to arbitrary G -sheaves.

Definition 7.1. Let $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ be a G -injective resolution of the G -sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over X . Let $P_\bullet$ be a projective resolution of R as RG -module. The *Swan spectral sequence* of $\mathcal{A}$ is the spectral sequence of the double complex

$$\mathrm{Sw}^{pq}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(P_p, \Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^q)$$

using p as filtration degree.

Theorem 7.2. Let $P_\bullet$ be a projective resolution of R as RG -module. Let $\mathcal{Q}^\bullet$ be a G -resolution of the G -sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ by Γ_X -acyclic sheaves. Then the spectral sequence, given by the double complex

$$\mathrm{Sw}^{pq}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(P_p, \Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^q)$$

using p as filtration degree converges with target the right derived functors of Γ_X^G . The spectral sequence has

$$E_1^{pq}(\mathrm{Sw}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(P_p, H^q(X; \mathcal{A})),$$

$$E_2^{pq}(\mathrm{Sw}(\mathcal{A})) = H^p(G; H^q(X; \mathcal{A})),$$

and is independent of the choice of resolutions from page 2 onward.

Proof. The sequence is first quadrant, so converges strongly to the cohomology of the total complex. We consider first the case where the $\mathcal{Q}^q$ are G -injective. We calculate the cohomology of the total complex using the other spectral sequence

$$E_0'^{pq} = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(P_q, \Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^p)$$

of the double complex. By Corollary 5.8, $\mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(-, \Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^p)$ is an exact functor. Therefore

$$E_1'^{pq} = \begin{cases} \Gamma_X^G(\mathcal{Q}^p) & \text{for } q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$E_\infty'^{pq} = E_2'^{pq} = \begin{cases} R^p \Gamma_X^G(\mathcal{A}) & \text{for } q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus the cohomology of the total complex is $R^\bullet \Gamma_X^G(\mathcal{A})$ as asserted.

We now calculate E_1 and E_2 . Since the P^p are projective RG -modules,

$$E_1^{pq} = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(P_p, H^q(\Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^\bullet)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(P_p, H^q(X; \mathcal{A}))$$

and

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(G; H^q(X; \mathcal{A})).$$

Now consider a G -resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ by Γ_X -acyclic sheaves, and form the double complex

$$F_0^{pq} = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(P_p, \Gamma_X \mathcal{F}^q).$$

There exists a G -translation $t: \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^\bullet$. This induces a translation $t: F_0^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow E_0^{\bullet\bullet}$ of double complexes. As the translation of resolutions induces an isomorphism $H^\bullet(\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\Gamma_X \mathcal{Q}^\bullet) = H^\bullet(X; \mathcal{A})$, these spectral sequences are isomorphic from page 1. If P' is another resolution of R , the resolutions P and P' are homotopy equivalent giving a homotopy equivalence of the filtered complexes, with homotopies disturbing the filtration degree by at most 1. The spectral sequences are therefore isomorphic from page 2 onward. $\square$

In particular, we may use the canonical flabby resolution. As this is an exact functor from G -sheaves to flabby G -resolutions, this gives a spectral sequence constructor for (Γ_X, I^G) . That it satisfies the total complex and $E_1^{\bullet 0}$ axioms for a constructor follows from the theorem. Thus the Swan spectral sequence is the Grothendieck composite functor spectral sequence considered earlier. Note also that the resolutions considered in Theorem 4.8 for a G -sheaf are G -resolutions. Thus if X is paracompact and HLC, the Swan spectral sequence may be constructed using any of these resolutions.

Sikora also considers for the constant sheaf $R \times X$, using the singular cochain complex in place of an injective resolution of $R \times X$. There is a chain map from the singular cochain complex to the complex $\Gamma_X \mathcal{S}^\bullet(X; R \times X)$ which induces the isomorphism in cohomology. Applying $\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, _)$ to this gives a translation of the double complexes. Thus for a paracompact HLC space and constant sheaf, use of the singular cochain complex again gives the same spectral sequence.

8. THE LERAY SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be a fibre bundle with fibre F and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf over E . We have $\Gamma_E \mathcal{A} = \Gamma_B \pi_* \mathcal{A}$. The Leray spectral sequence is the Grothendieck spectral sequence of the composite functor $\Gamma_E = \Gamma_B \circ \pi_*$. The usual theory of the composite functor spectral sequence applies, and we can construct the spectral sequence starting with any π_* -acyclic resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of $\mathcal{A}$. I want to use the cochain sheaf resolutions of Section 4. To this end, I consider the direct images under π of the cochain sheaves $\mathcal{S}^n(E; \mathcal{A})$. To simplify notation and to make the results generally applicable, I suppose that we have a sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ over E .

The sheaf $\mathcal{T} = \pi_* \mathcal{Q}$ is defined in terms of the presheaf T over B which assigns to the open subset U of B the module $T(U) = \mathcal{Q}(\pi^{-1}(U))$. The sheaf $\mathcal{T} = \pi_* \mathcal{Q}$ is the sheaf of germs of T . Since E is locally a product, to determine the stalk over $b \in B$, we need only consider open neighbourhoods U of b such that $\pi^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times F$. So we suppose that $E = B \times F$.

Now consider an element a of the stalk $\mathcal{T}_b$. Then a is the germ of some $t \in T(U)$ for some U . But $t \in \mathcal{Q}(\pi^{-1}U)$ is a section of $\mathcal{Q}$ over $\pi^{-1}U$ and so defines a section $t' = t|_{E_b}$ of the restriction of $\mathcal{Q}$ to E_b .

Conversely, let t' be a section of $\mathcal{Q}|_{E_b}$. For each point $x \in E_b$, there is a neighbourhood N_x of $t'(x)$ in $\mathcal{Q}$ homeomorphic to its projection $W_x \subseteq E$. By setting $t_x(e) = N_x \cap \mathcal{Q}_e$ for all $e \in W_x$, we obtain a section t_x of $\mathcal{Q}$ over W_x with $t_x(x) = t'(x)$. It follows that $t_x|(E_b \cap W_x)$ coincides with t' on some neighbourhood of x in E_b . By replacing W_x by a smaller neighbourhood, we obtain a neighbourhood

$W_x = U_x \times V_x$ and section t_x over W_x with $t_x|_{V_x} = t'|_{V_x}$. We want to put these together to get a section over some $U_b \times E_b$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let t' be a section of $\mathcal{Q}|_{E_b}$. Then there exists a section $t \in \mathcal{Q}(W)$ for some open set $W \supseteq E_b$ such that $t|_{E_b} = t'$.*

Proof. For each $x \in E_b$, we have a neighbourhood $W_x = U_x \times V_x$ and a section t_x over W_x as above. Since E_b is paracompact, there exists a locally finite refinement $\{V_j \mid j \in J\}$ of the covering of E_b and sections t_j over $U_j \times V_j$ which agree with t' on V_j . This covering $\{V_j\}$ is shrinkable, that is, there exists an open covering $\{\bar{V}_j'\}$ with the closure $\bar{V}_j' \subseteq V_j$. (See Dugundji [5, Theorem 6.1, p.152].) Put $W_j' = U_j \times \bar{V}_j'$.

Replacing V_x by a smaller neighbourhood, we may suppose that V_x meets only finitely many of the V_j . Suppose $x \in V_j$. Then t_x agrees with t_j on some neighbourhood of x . There are only finitely many such j , so by taking the intersection of these neighbourhoods, we may suppose that t_x agrees with t_j on W_x for every j with $x \in V_j$. Now suppose that $V_x \cap V_j \neq \emptyset$ but $x \notin V_j$. Replacing V_x by its intersection with the complement of $\bar{V}_j'$ ensures that $V_x \cap \bar{V}_j' = \emptyset$. We may do this for every such j as there are only finitely of them. We now have that for every j , t_x agrees with t_j on $W_x \cap W_j'$.

Now suppose $e \in W_x \cap W_y$. Then $e \in W_j'$ for some j and $t_x(e) = t_j(e) = t_y(e)$. Since these sections t_x agree on intersections, they assemble into the required section t over $W = \bigcup_x W_x$. $\square$

Now suppose that F is compact. Then $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a closed map and every neighbourhood W of E_b contains a neighbourhood of the form $U \times E_b$. Thus every element a of the stalk $(p_*\mathcal{Q})_b$ gives a section of $\mathcal{Q}|_{E_b}$, and every section of $\mathcal{Q}|_{E_b}$ arises in this way. If a, a' give the same section, then we have sections t, t' over some $U \times F$ and $U' \times F$ which agree on E_b . They therefore agree on some neighbourhood of E_b . Using the compactness of E_b , we obtain some $U'' \times E_b$ on which they agree. Thus they give the same germs, that is, $a = a'$.

We have thus proved ([7, 4.17.1]):

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose the fibre F is compact. Then $\mathcal{T}_b = \Gamma(\mathcal{Q}|_{E_b})$.*

To go further, we restrict attention to sheaves which have a bundle structure matching that of $\pi: E \rightarrow B$. The bundle can be described in terms of its structure group and coordinate transformations. Thus we have the fibre F , an effective topological transformation group G of F , a family of coordinate neighbourhoods $\{U_j \mid j \in J\}$, for each $j \in J$, a homeomorphism $\varphi_j: U_j \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_j)$ and, for each pair $i, j \in J$, a continuous map $g_{ji}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$ giving the coordinate transformations. (See Steenrod [13, §2.3, p. 7].) If we have a G -sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over F , we can use these same transition functions to define a sheaf over E . To allow for cases which arise in equivariant cohomology in which the given group G acting on $\mathcal{F}$ may not act effectively on F , we define a bundle sheaf a little more generally. We shall suppose that we have a G -sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over a G -space F and that G acts effectively on $\mathcal{F}$. Let $K = \{g \in G \mid gx = x \text{ for all } x \in F\}$, and let η be the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow \bar{G} = G/K$.

Definition 8.3. We call a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over the total space E of the fibre bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ a *bundle sheaf* over E if $\mathcal{A}$ is a G -bundle over B with coordinate transformations g_{ji} such that ηg_{ji} are the coordinate transformations of the $\bar{G}$ -bundle E over B .

Equivalently, $\mathcal{A}$ is called a bundle sheaf over $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ if, for some principal G -bundle P over B and G -sheaf over F , we have $E = P \times_G F$ and $\mathcal{A} = P \times_G \mathcal{F}$. In this situation, we shall refer to $\mathcal{F}$ as the fibre sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$.

We can give an alternative description not directly mentioning the group G . Let $p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ be the sheaf projection and let $s_0: E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the zero section. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a bundle sheaf over E if $\pi p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$ is a fibre bundle and p, s_0 are bundle maps.

Suppose we are given a G -sheaf $\mathcal{F}'$ over F and a $\bar{G}$ -bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ with fibre F . Constructing a bundle sheaf $\mathcal{A}'$ from this involves lifting the transition functions $\bar{g}_{ji}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \bar{G}$ to functions $g_{ji}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$ satisfying all the consistency conditions. This might not be possible. However, if we already have some bundle sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over E , then we have such a lifting. We can take the associated principal bundle P of $\mathcal{A}$ and construct the desired bundle sheaf by setting $\mathcal{A}' = P \times_G \mathcal{F}'$, that is, by using the coordinate transformations of $\mathcal{A}$. We denote this sheaf by $\mathcal{F}' \times_{\mathcal{A}} B$. It is a product of $\mathcal{F}'$ and B twisted by the transition functions of $\mathcal{A}$. Note that $\mathcal{F} \times_{\mathcal{A}} B = \mathcal{A}$ and that ${}_{-} \times_{\mathcal{A}} B = P \times_G {}_{-}$ is an exact functor from G -sheaves on F to bundle sheaves over $\pi: E \rightarrow B$.

For a bundle sheaf $\mathcal{A}$, we can obtain the result of Lemma 8.2 without the need for the assumption that F is compact.

Lemma 8.4. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a bundle sheaf over $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ and that B is locally path connected. Then $\pi_*(\mathcal{A})_b = \Gamma_{E_b}(\mathcal{A}|_{E_b})$.*

Proof. We can take a path connected neighbourhood U of b contained in some coordinate neighbourhood. Then $\mathcal{A}|\pi^{-1}(U) = \mathcal{F} \times U$. For any path $\sigma: I \rightarrow U$, the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ to $(\sigma(I), x) \subset U \times F$ is constant. By Lemma 4.2, any section of it is constant. It follows that any section of $\mathcal{A}|_{E_b}$ has a unique extension to a section of $\pi^{-1}(U)$. $\square$

Investigation of the Leray spectral sequence for a bundle sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ would be easy if we could show that its fibre sheaf being Γ_F -acyclic implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is π_* -acyclic. It seems unlikely that this is true in general. I have only been able to prove it (Lemma 8.6 below) under the assumption that the fibre F is compact.

Lemma 8.5. *Suppose $\mathcal{Q}$ is fine. Then $\mathcal{Q}|_{E_b}$ is a fine sheaf over E_b .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a locally finite open covering of E_b . Let U be an open neighbourhood of b in some coordinate neighbourhood. Put $W_i = U \times V_i$. Then $V_i = E_b \cap W_i$. Put $W_0 = E - E_b$. Then $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i \mid i \in I \cup \{0\}\}$ is a locally finite open covering of E . The fineness endomorphisms of $\mathcal{Q}$ for $\mathcal{W}$ restrict to the required endomorphisms of $\mathcal{Q}|_{E_b}$ for $\mathcal{V}$. $\square$

An immediate consequence of Lemmas 8.2, 8.5 is that $R^q \pi_* \mathcal{A}$ is a sheaf over B with stalks $(R^q \pi_* \mathcal{A})_b = H^q(E_b; \mathcal{A}|_{E_b})$. We denote this sheaf by $\mathcal{H}^q(E_b; \mathcal{A}|_{E_b})$. It follows that, if the fibres are compact, then the Leray spectral sequence has

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(B; \mathcal{H}^q(E_b; \mathcal{A}|_{E_b})).$$

Lemma 8.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a bundle sheaf over $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ with fibre sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over the fibre F . Suppose F is compact and that $\mathcal{F}$ is Γ_F -acyclic. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is π_* -acyclic.*

Proof. Take an injective resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Applying π_* gives the sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{Q}^0 \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{Q}^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of sheaves over B . We have to show this sequence is exact. By Lemma 8.2, the sequence of stalks over b is

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{E_b}(\mathcal{A}|E_b) \rightarrow \Gamma_{E_b}(\mathcal{Q}^0|E_b) \rightarrow \Gamma_{E_b}(\mathcal{Q}^1|E_b) \rightarrow \dots$$

By Lemma 8.5, $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}|E_b \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^0|E_b \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^1|E_b \rightarrow \dots$ is a fine resolution of $\mathcal{A}|E_b$. But $\mathcal{A}|E_b \simeq \mathcal{F}$ is Γ_{E_b} -acyclic. It follows that the sequence of stalks (2) is exact and so also the sequence (1) of sheaves. Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is π_* -acyclic. $\square$

Lemma 8.7. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a bundle sheaf over $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ with the fibre F compact. Then $\pi_*(\mathcal{A})$ is a locally constant sheaf over B with stalks $\pi_*(\mathcal{A})_b = \Gamma_{E_b}(\mathcal{A}|E_b)$.*

Proof. Any $b \in B$, has a neighbourhood U such that $\mathcal{A}|\pi^{-1}(U) = \mathcal{F} \times U$. Thus $\pi_*(\mathcal{A})|U = \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \times U$ by Lemma 8.2. $\square$

It follows that, for a bundle sheaf $\mathcal{A}$, if E is paracompact and HLC and the fibre F is compact, we may apply any of the cochain sheaf resolutions of Section 4 to $\mathcal{F}$ extended as twisted product to a bundle sheaf resolution of $\mathcal{A}$ in the first stage of the construction of the Leray spectral sequence of $\mathcal{A}$. This may then be followed by any of those cochain resolutions in the second stage. In particular, the Leray spectral sequence of $\mathcal{A}$ is the spectral sequence of either of the double complexes

$$\text{Ler}^{pq} = \Gamma_B \mathcal{S}^p(B, \Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{S}^q(E_b)) \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{\text{Ler}}^{pq} = \Gamma_B \bar{\mathcal{S}}^p(B, \Gamma_{E_b} \bar{\mathcal{S}}^q(E_b)).$$

For the Alexander-Spanier version $\bar{\text{Ler}}$, the requirement that E be HLC can be weakened to B locally path connected.

9. THE LERAY-SERRE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

I follow the Dress construction [4] of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence as explained in McCleary [10, pp. 208 – 212]. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration. A singular pq -simplex of the fibration is defined to be a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p \times \Delta^q & \xrightarrow{w} & E \\ pr \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \Delta^p & \xrightarrow[u]{} & B, \end{array}$$

where pr is the projection onto the first factor. The homology version of the spectral sequence is obtained by defining S_{pq} to be the free abelian group on the set of all pq -simplexes. This gives a double complex, and using p as filtration degree gives the Leray-Serre spectral sequence. Dualising, setting $S^{pq} = \text{Hom}(S_{pq}, R)$ gives the cohomology version. I want to generalise this to cohomology of an arbitrary sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over E . To simplify, I assume that $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibre bundle and consider only open sets of E of the form $U \times V$, where U is an open set of B and V is an open set of the fibre.

For any open set W of E , I put

$$D^{pq}(W) = S^{pq}(W; \mathcal{A}(W)) = \text{Hom}(S_{pq}(W), \mathcal{A}(W)).$$

Here, a pq -simplex of W is understood to be a pq -simplex of $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ as above, with $w(\Delta^p \times \Delta^q) \subset W$. Let $\mathcal{D}^{pq}$ be the sheaf of germs of the presheaf D^{pq} and let $\text{LS}^{pq} = \Gamma_E(\mathcal{D}^{pq})$. This gives a double complex. Using p as filtration degree gives my generalisation of the Dress-Serre spectral sequence.

Another version, analogous to the Bredon generalisation of singular cohomology, is obtained by setting $\mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{D}^{pq}(\mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{pq} = \Gamma_E(\mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{pq})$.

By McCleary [10, Theorem 6.28], the homology of the total complex $\sum S_{pq}$ is $H_\bullet(E)$.

Lemma 9.1. *Suppose E is HLC. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{00} \rightarrow \sum_{p+q=1} \mathcal{D}^{pq} \rightarrow \sum_{p+q=2} \mathcal{D}^{pq} \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. The argument of Lemma 4.4 applies. $\square$

Lemma 9.2. *Suppose E is HLC and that R is noetherian. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{00} \rightarrow \sum_{p+q=1} \mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{pq} \rightarrow \sum_{p+q=2} \mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{pq} \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. The argument of Lemma 4.5 applies. $\square$

Theorem 9.3. *Suppose E is paracompact and HLC and that R is noetherian. Then the cohomology of each of the total complexes $\text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet}$ and $\text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}$ is $H^\bullet(E; \mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. By the argument of Lemma 4.7, the sheaves $\mathcal{D}^{pq}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}$ are fine. The result follows by Lemmas 9.1, 9.2. $\square$

We need to show that these constructions do give us the Dress version of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence in the special case of a constant sheaf $\mathcal{A} = A \times E$. As we have seen before, in this case, we need only consider constant sections in the passage to germs. Thus $\mathcal{D}^{pq}$ is the sheaf of germs of the presheaf $S^{pq}(W) = \text{Hom}(S_{pq}(W), A)$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{pq} = \mathcal{D}^{pq}(\mathcal{R}) \otimes A$. As E is assumed paracompact, it follows as proved in Section 4 for sheaves of cochains, that $\Gamma_E(\mathcal{D}^{pq}) = S^{pq}(E; A)/O^{pq}$, where O^{pq} is the submodule of locally zero cochains. We thus have an epimorphism of double complexes $\eta: S^{\bullet\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_E \mathcal{D}^{\bullet\bullet} = \text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet}$. Similarly, we have a map of double complexes $S^{\bullet\bullet}(R) \otimes A \rightarrow \Gamma_E(\mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}) = \text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}$, but, as pointed out earlier for the map $S^\bullet(X; R) \otimes A \rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(X; A)$, this need not be surjective.

To show that η induces an isomorphism of the spectral sequences, we adapt the theory of small simplexes (Eilenberg and Steenrod [6, §VII.8]) to bisimplexes. To avoid confusion, I denote their map R by ρ . It is convenient to study the bisimplex $\sigma = (u, w)$ using only the map $w: \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow E$. A map $w: \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow E$ determines a bisimplex $\sigma = (u, w)$ provided that, for each $x \in \Delta^p$, $\pi w(x, \Delta^q)$ is a single point, a requirement I shall refer to as the bisimplex condition.

A map $w: \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow E$ may be considered as a map $\Delta^p \rightarrow E^{\Delta^q}$. The maps Sd, ρ of [6, §VII.8] when applied to this give maps $\text{Sd}': S_{pq} \rightarrow S_{pq}$ and $\rho': S_{pq} \rightarrow S_{p+1,q}$ such that

$$\partial' \text{Sd}' = \text{Sd}' \partial' \text{ and } 1 - \text{Sd}' = \partial' \rho' + \rho' \partial'.$$

As Sd and ρ are natural, Sd' and ρ' commute with the maps $\partial'': E^{\Delta^q} \rightarrow E^{\Delta^{q-1}}$ induced by the face maps $\partial_i: \Delta^{q-1} \rightarrow \Delta^q$. Thus we have $\partial'' \text{Sd}' = \text{Sd}' \partial''$ and $\partial'' \rho' + \rho' \partial'' = 0$ (because we put in $(-1)^p$ in ∂''). Likewise, regarding w as a map

$\Delta^q \rightarrow E^{\Delta^p}$, we obtain $\text{Sd}'': S_{pq} \rightarrow S_{pq}$ and $\rho'': S_{pq} \rightarrow S_{p,q+1}$, (giving ρ'' the sign $(-1)^p$) such that

$$\partial'' \text{Sd}'' = \text{Sd}'' \partial'' \text{ and } 1 - \text{Sd}'' = \partial'' \rho'' + \rho'' \partial''$$

and also satisfying

$$\partial' \text{Sd}'' = \text{Sd}'' \partial' \text{ and } \partial' \rho'' + \rho'' \partial' = 0.$$

Note that these maps all preserve the bisimplex condition. Put $\text{Sd} = \text{Sd}' \text{Sd}''$ and $\rho = \rho' \text{Sd}'' + \rho''$. For these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \rho + \rho \partial &= (\partial' + \partial'')(\rho' \text{Sd}'' + \rho'') + (\rho' \text{Sd}'' + \rho'')(\partial' + \partial'') \\ &= \partial' \rho' \text{Sd}'' + \partial'' \rho' \text{Sd}'' + \partial' \rho'' + \partial'' \rho'' \\ &\quad + \rho' \text{Sd}'' \partial' + \rho' \text{Sd}'' \partial'' + \rho'' \partial' + \rho'' \partial'' \\ &= (\partial' \rho' + \rho' \partial') \text{Sd}'' + \rho'(-\partial'' \text{Sd}'' + \text{Sd}'' \partial'') + (\partial'' \rho'' + \rho'' \partial'') \\ &= (1 - \text{Sd}') \text{Sd}'' + 1 - \text{Sd}'' = 1 - \text{Sd}' \text{Sd}'' \\ &= 1 - \text{Sd} \end{aligned}$$

We thus have the double barycentric subdivision chain map $\text{Sd}: S_{pq} \rightarrow S_{pq}$ and the chain homotopy $\rho: S_{pq} \rightarrow S_{p+1,q} + S_{p,q+1}$, $1 - \text{Sd} = \partial \rho + \rho \partial$.

Now let $\mathcal{W}$ be a covering of E by open sets W . Let

$$S_{pq}(E, \mathcal{W}) = \sum \{S_{pq}(W) \mid W \in \mathcal{W}\}$$

be the subgroup of $S_{pq}(E)$ spanned by the “small” bisimplexes, those whose image is contained in some $W \in \mathcal{W}$. The inclusion $\text{inc}: S_{pq}(E, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow S_{pq}(E)$ induces the restriction map $\eta: S^{pq}(E; A) \rightarrow S^{pq}(E, \mathcal{W}; A)$.

Lemma 9.4. *There exists a chain map $\tau: S_{pq}(E) \rightarrow S_{pq}(E, \mathcal{W})$ and a homotopy $D: S_{pq}(E) \rightarrow S_{p+1,q} + S_{p,q+1}$ such that $\tau \text{inc} = 1$ and $\partial D + D \partial = 1 - \text{inc} \tau$.*

Proof. Let σ be a pq -simplex of E . As in the standard small simplex theory, we may use the compactness of $\Delta^p \times \Delta^q$ to conclude that $\text{Sd}^n \sigma \in S_{pq}(E, \mathcal{W})$ for some n . Let $n(\sigma)$ be the least such n . Then for the faces of σ , we have $n(\partial'_i \sigma) \leq n(\sigma)$ and $n(\partial''_j \sigma) \leq n(\sigma)$. Put

$$\tau \sigma = \text{Sd}^{n(\sigma)} \sigma + \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=n(\partial'_i \sigma)}^{n(\sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j(\partial'_i \sigma) + (-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^i \sum_{j=n(\partial''_i \sigma)}^{n(\sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j(\partial''_i \sigma)$$

and put $D\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^{n(\sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j \sigma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial D\sigma &= \sum_{j=0}^{n(\sigma)-1} \partial \rho \text{Sd}^j \sigma \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n(\sigma)-1} (1 - \text{Sd} - \rho \partial) \text{Sd}^j \sigma \\
&= \sigma - \text{Sd}^{n(\sigma)} \sigma - \sum_{j=0}^{n(\sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j \partial \sigma \\
&= \sigma - \text{Sd}^{n(\sigma)} \sigma - \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{n(\sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j \partial'_i \sigma - \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^{p+i} \sum_{j=0}^{n(\sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j \partial''_i \sigma \\
D\partial \sigma &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{n(\partial'_i \sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j \partial'_i \sigma + \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^{p+i} \sum_{j=0}^{n(\partial''_i \sigma)-1} \rho \text{Sd}^j \partial''_i \sigma
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\partial D + D\partial)\sigma = (1 - \tau)\sigma$. $\square$

Theorem 9.5. *Suppose E is paracompact and HLC and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the constant sheaf $\mathcal{A} = A \times E$. Then the spectral sequences of $S^{\bullet\bullet}(A)$ and $\text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet}(A)$ are isomorphic from page 2 onwards.*

Proof. The $S^{pq}(E, \mathcal{W}; A)$ for open coverings $\mathcal{W}$ of E form a direct system with restriction maps $\eta_{21}: S^{pq}(E, \mathcal{W}_1; A) \rightarrow S^{pq}(E, \mathcal{W}_2; A)$ whenever $\mathcal{W}_2$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{W}_1$. The direct limit of this system is $\text{LS}^{pq}(A)$. The maps commute with the differentials and so, with the construction of the spectral sequences. Thus the spectral sequence of the direct limit is the direct limit of the spectral sequences. By Lemma 9.4 and Cartan and Eilenberg [3, Prop. XV.3.1, p.321], the $E_2^{pq}(\eta_{ij})$ are isomorphisms, and it follows that the map $E_2(\eta): E_2(S^{\bullet\bullet}(A)) \rightarrow E_2(\text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet}(A))$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

For finitely generated constant coefficients A , we have $\text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}(A) = \text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet}(A)$, so the spectral sequences of both $\text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ may be considered to be generalisations of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence. The effect on the cohomology of a cochain complex, of restricting to cochains having values in a finitely generated subgroup disappears when we work with the complex of sections of the sheaf of germs. This suggests that $\text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ should give the same spectral sequence from page 2, but I have not been able to prove this.

To get useful results on more general sheaves, I need a structure on the bundle analogous to the connections used in differential geometry. I define this in terms of coordinate neighbourhoods and transition functions. So suppose G acts effectively on the fibre F and that the bundle has coordinate functions $\varphi_j: U_j \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_j)$ and transition functions $g_{ji}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$. Thus, for $u \in U_i \cap U_j$,

$$g_{ij}(u) = \varphi_j^{-1}(u, -) \varphi_i(u, -): F \rightarrow F.$$

Definition 9.6. A subset $X \subset \pi^{-1}U_j$ is said to be *level* with respect to φ_j if $X \subseteq \varphi_j(U_j, t)$ for some $t \in F$. A map $f: \Delta \rightarrow \pi^{-1}U_j$ is called *level* with respect to φ_j if $f(\Delta)$ is level.

Definition 9.7. The family $\{\varphi_j \mid j \in J\}$ is called a *connection* if, for all $i, j \in J$ and all path-connected subsets X of $\pi^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$, X level with respect to φ_i implies that X is also level with respect to φ_j .

There is a special case in which the existence of a connection is clear.

Lemma 9.8. *Suppose that B is locally path connected. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) E has a connection;
- (2) E can be defined using locally constant transition functions;
- (3) E can be defined using a discrete group G .

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) We may suppose that G acts effectively on F and let φ_i, g_{ji} define a connection. Let X be a path connected subset of $U_i \cap U_j$. Then for $v \in F$, $Y = \varphi_i(X, v)$ is level in $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$ and therefore also in $\pi^{-1}(U_j)$. But $Y = \{\varphi_j(x, g_{ji}(x)v) \mid x \in X\}$, so $g_{ji}(x)v$ is independent of x for each $v \in F$. Since G is effective, $g_{ji}(x)$ is independent of x for $x \in X$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) The g_{ji} , being locally constant, are still continuous if we give G the discrete topology.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $f: I \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$ be level with respect to φ_j and put $u = \pi f: I \rightarrow U_i \cap U_j$. Then $f(t) = \varphi_j(u(t), c)$ for some $c \in F$ and all $t \in I$. So $f(t) = \varphi_i(u(t), g_{ij}(u(t))(c))$. But $g_{ij} \circ u: I \rightarrow G$ is continuous and G is discrete. Therefore $g_{ij}(u(t)) = g \in G$ is independent of t and we have $f(t) = \varphi_i(u(t), gc)$. Thus f is level with respect to φ_i . It follows that any path connected subset of $\pi^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$ which is level with respect to φ_j is also level with respect to φ_i . Thus $\{\varphi_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a connection. $\square$

Definition 9.9. A pq -simplex (u, w) of an open set $W \subseteq \pi^{-1}U_j$ is called *level* (with respect to φ_j) if, for all $y \in \Delta^q$, $w(-, y): \Delta^p \rightarrow W$ is level.

If we identify $\pi^{-1}(U_j)$ with $U_j \times F$ via φ_j , we can simplify the notation. A pq -simplex $\sigma = (u, w)$ can be expressed as $w(x, y) = (u(x), v(x, y))$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta^p \times \Delta^q$, where $v(x, y) \in F$. The condition for σ to be level becomes that v be a function of y only, thus $w(x, y) = (u(x), v(y))$.

Let $c \in S^{pq}(W; \mathcal{A}(W))$. Let ρc be the restriction of c to level pq -simplexes. We call these functions ρc level cochains. Since all face operators send level bisimplexes to level bisimplexes, ρ is a map of double complexes. Suppose we have a connection, so the concept of level is unambiguous for small bisimplexes. Let $\mathcal{N}^{pq}$ be the sheaf of germs of the ρc . We then have a map $\rho: \mathcal{D}^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\bullet\bullet}$. Setting $N^{pq} = \Gamma_E(\mathcal{N}^{pq})$, we have a map of double complexes $\rho: \text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow N^{\bullet\bullet}$. Restricting to cochains with values in a finitely generated submodule, we obtain maps $\rho': \mathcal{D}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}$ and $\rho': \text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow N_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}$.

Theorem 9.10. *Suppose the coordinate functions $\{\varphi_j \mid j \in J\}$ define a connection. Then the maps $\rho_1: E_1^{\bullet\bullet}(\text{LS}) \rightarrow E_1^{\bullet\bullet}(N)$ and $\rho'_1: E_1^{\bullet\bullet}(\text{LS}_{\text{fg}}) \rightarrow E_1^{\bullet\bullet}(N_{\text{fg}})$ induced by the restriction ρ to level bisimplexes are isomorphisms.*

Proof. We show that $\rho: \text{LS}^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow N^{\bullet\bullet}$ and $\rho': \text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow N_{\text{fg}}^{\bullet\bullet}$ are chain equivalences with respect to the second differentials of the double complexes. Let σ be the pq -simplex of $\pi^{-1}U_i$ defined by the map $(u_i, v_i): \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow U_i \times F$. Let $l\sigma$ given by (u_i, lv_i) be the unique level pq -simplex with $lv_i(0, y) = v_i(0, y)$ for all $y \in \Delta^q$,

that is, $lv_i(x, y) = v_i(0, y)$. The map $\gamma: I \times \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow \pi^{-1}U_i$ defined by

$$\gamma(t, x, y) = \varphi_i(u_i(x), v_i(tx, y))$$

is a homotopy from the level pq -simplex $l\sigma$ to σ . This homotopy γ does not depend on the neighbourhood U_i , for suppose $(u_j, v_j): \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow U_j \times F$ defines the same map into E . Then $u_i = u_j$ and

$$v_j(x, y) = g_{ji}(u_i(x))v_i(x, y).$$

Defining homotopies by $\gamma_i(t, x, y) = (u_i(x), v_i(tx, y))$ and γ_j similarly for U_j , we want to show that these define the same map $I \times \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow E$. For this, we need $v_j(tx, y) = g_{ji}(u_i(x))v_i(tx, y)$. This holds as $g_{ji}(u_i(tx)) = g_{ji}(u_i(x))$ because the transition functions are locally constant.

For fixed p , the cochains c whose value on (u, w) depends only on u and $w(0, -)$ form a subcomplex with respect to the second differential. This subcomplex can be identified with $\rho S^{p\bullet}$. The homotopy γ shows it to be a deformation retract. This relationship is preserved under the taking of germs and sections. Thus the maps $\rho: \text{LS}^{p\bullet} \rightarrow N^{p\bullet}$ and $\rho': \text{LS}_{\text{fg}}^{p\bullet} \rightarrow N_{\text{fg}}^{p\bullet}$ are chain equivalences and the result follows. $\square$

10. COMPARISON OF LERAY-SERRE AND LERAY

To relate the Leray-Serre spectral sequence to the Leray, I construct a map $\psi: N^{\bullet\bullet} \rightarrow \text{Ler}^{\bullet\bullet}$. I begin with a lemma which strengthens part of the standard proof that $S^n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma S^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf over the space X . Let Δ be a test space. We refer to any map $\sigma: \Delta \rightarrow U \subseteq X$ as a simplex of U and denote the set of all such by $S(U)$. A local cochain is any function $c: S(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$ for some open set U . We say that the local cochains c_1, c_2 defined on open sets U_1, U_2 agree on $U_1 \cap U_2$ if, for every simplex σ of $U_1 \cap U_2$, $c_1(\sigma)$ and $c_2(\sigma)$ have the same restriction in $\mathcal{A}(U_1 \cap U_2)$.

Lemma 10.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf over the paracompact space X . Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the sheaf of germs of local cochains and let γ be a section of $\mathcal{S}$. Then there exists, for each $x \in X$, a neighbourhood V_x of x and a local cochain c_x defined over V_x such that*

- (1) *the germ of c_x at $y \in V_x$ is $\gamma(y)$, and*
- (2) *for all $x, y \in X$, c_x and c_y agree on $V_x \cap V_y$.*

Proof. For $x \in X$, $\gamma(x)$ is the germ at x of some local cochain c_x defined over some neighbourhood V_x of x . Taking the germ at $y \in V_x$ of c_x gives a section of $\mathcal{S}$ over V_x which agrees with γ at x . These section agree on some neighbourhood V'_x of x . Replacing V_x with V'_x gives property (1).

The covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_x \mid x \in X\}$ has a locally finite refinement $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j \mid j \in J\}$. For each j , we can choose a local cochain C_j defined over U_j which is the restriction of some c_x . The covering $\mathcal{U}$ is shrinkable. Let $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a shrinking of $\mathcal{U}$. Consider $x \in X$. Then x has a neighbourhood meeting only finitely many of the U_j . By replacing V_x with its intersection with such a neighbourhood, we may suppose that V_x meets only finitely many of the U_j .

Suppose $x \in U_j$. Then c_x and C_j have the same germ at x , so agree on some neighbourhood $V_{x,j}$ of x . By replacing V_x with the intersection of these $V_{x,j}$, we obtain V_x such that c_x is the restriction to V_x of C_j for every such j . Now suppose V_x meets U_j but $x \notin U_j$. By replacing V_x by its intersection with the complement

of $\bar{U}'_j$, we may assume that V_x does not meet U'_j . We may assume this for every such j as there are only finitely many of them.

We now have V_x such that, if $x \in U_j$, then $V_x \subseteq U_j$ and $c_x = C_j|V_x$, and, if $x \notin U_j$, then $V_x \cap U'_j = \emptyset$. Suppose σ is a simplex of $V_x \cap V_y$. Then there exists $z \in V_x \cap V_y$ and $z \in U'_j$ for some j . Since $V_x \cap U'_j \neq \emptyset$, $x \in U_j$, $V_x \subseteq U_j$ and $c_x = C_j|V_x$. Similarly, $c_y = C_j|V_y$. Thus $c_x|V_x \cap V_y = c_y|V_x \cap V_y$. $\square$

Now suppose that the bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ has E paracompact and HLC, with compact fibre F . Suppose further, that it has a connection, so we have a family of coordinate patches $\varphi_i: U_i \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_i)$ with locally constant transition functions. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a bundle sheaf over E with fibre sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over F . Corresponding to level subsets of $U_i \times F$, we have level sections of $U_i \times \mathcal{F}$. An element of a stalk over $(u, v) \in U_i \times F$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}_v$. A section over a level path in $U_i \times F$ gives a continuous function from I into $\mathcal{F}_v$. As $\mathcal{F}_v$ is discrete, this function must be constant. It follows that elements of $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i(U_i \times F))$ are constant over path connected level subsets. As B is locally path connected, in the passage to germs of level cochains, we need only consider cochains whose values are level sections. A level section over $W = \varphi_i(U \times V)$ is a section of $\mathcal{F}$ over V .

An element of N^{pq} is a section γ of the sheaf of germs of level pq -cochains. By Lemma 10.1, we have, for each $e \in E$, a neighbourhood W_e of e and a level pq -cochain c_e defined on W_e with germ $\gamma(e')$ at $e' \in W_e$ and with the c_e agreeing on overlaps. We may take W_e within a coordinate patch, $W_e = \varphi_i(U_e \times V_e)$ and may also assume U_e path connected. Further, c_e , having values in level sections, has values in $\mathcal{F}(V_e)$. Consider the fibre E_b for $b \in U_i$. The V_e for $e \in E_b$ cover F which is compact. We have a finite subcovering $V_{e_1}, \dots, V_{e_k}$. Put $U_b = U_{e_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{e_k}$. For each p -simplex u of U_b and q -simplex v of V_{e_j} , we have $c_{e_j}(u, v) \in \mathcal{F}(V_{e_j})$. As the c_e agree on overlaps, we obtain, passing to germs, for each $u \in U_b$ a section of $\mathcal{S}^q(E_b; \mathcal{F})$. Thus we have a p -cochain on U_b with values in the locally constant sheaf $\{\Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{S}^q(E_b; \mathcal{F})\}$. Passing to germs gives an element $\psi(\gamma) \in \text{Ler}^{pq}$.

The element $\psi(\gamma)$ does not depend on the choices made in its construction. Suppose for each e , we take another level cochain c'_e defined on a neighbourhood W'_e . Since c_e, c'_e have the same germ at e , they agree on some neighbourhood W''_e . The W''_e for $e \in E_b$ provide a common refinement of the two coverings of E_b and, using the compactness, we obtain a finite common refinement. Passing to germs, we obtain, for p -simplexes u of a sufficiently small neighbourhood of b , the same section of $\mathcal{S}^q(E_b; \mathcal{F})$ and so the same element of Ler^{pq} .

Denote the first and second differentials of the double complexes by ∂', ∂'' . To calculate $\partial'\gamma$ and $\partial''\gamma$, we calculate $\partial'c_e$ and $\partial''c_e$ for the c_e used above in the calculation of $\psi(\gamma)$. We are working with germs, so we need only consider level $(p+1, q)$ - and $(p, q+1)$ -simplexes of the W_e . All faces of these lie in W_e and, as ψ does not depend on the choices of neighbourhoods, it follows that ψ commutes with ∂' and ∂'' . Thus ψ is a well-defined map of double complexes.

Now suppose $\gamma \in N^{pq}_{\text{fg}}$. Then the cochains c_e give, for each p -simplex u of U_b and q -simplex v of V_{e_i} , an element $c_{e_i}(u, v)$ of some finitely generated subgroup $F_i \leq \mathcal{F}(V_{e_i})$. Fixing u , we have a q -cochain $c^i_u \in S^q(V_{e_i}; \mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(V_{e_i})$. Thus $\psi(\gamma) \in \Gamma_B \mathcal{S}^p(B; \Gamma \mathcal{S}^q_{\text{fg}}(E_b; \mathcal{F}))$. Note that, although the values of $c^i_u(v)$ lie in a finitely generated subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(V_{e_i})$, we have infinitely many c^i_u and these need

not give germs in a finitely generated subgroup of $\Gamma \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^q(E_b; \mathcal{F})$. Thus $\psi(\gamma)$ need not lie in $\Gamma_B \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^p(B; \Gamma \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^q(E_b; \mathcal{F}))$.

Put $\text{Ler}'^{pq} = \Gamma_B \mathcal{S}^p(B; \Gamma \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^q(E_b; \mathcal{F}))$ and $\text{Ler}''^{pq} = \Gamma_B \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^p(B; \Gamma \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^q(E_b; \mathcal{F}))$. We have the map of double complexes $\psi': N_{\text{fg}} \rightarrow \text{Ler}'$. I want information on the induced map $\psi_1'^{pq}: E_1^{pq}(N_{\text{fg}}) \rightarrow E_1^{pq}(\text{Ler}')$. I start with $q = 0$.

In the construction of $\psi(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in N^{p0}$, we used neighbourhoods U_b, V_{e_i} and level cochains c_e defined on $U_b \times V_{e_i}$ with values in $\mathcal{F}(V_{e_i})$. We may choose these neighbourhoods path connected. For each p -simplex σ of U_b and each point $v \in V_{e_i}$, we get an element of $\mathcal{F}(V_{e_i})$. The element $\gamma \in N^{p0}$ is a cocycle with respect to the second differential ∂'' if this element of $\mathcal{F}(V_{e_i})$ is independent of v . Thus $c_e \in S^p(U_b; \mathcal{F}(V_{e_i}))$. As the c_e agree on overlaps, we have an element of $S^p(U_b; \Gamma_F \mathcal{F})$ and γ can be identified with the element $\psi(\gamma) \in E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}) = \Gamma_B \mathcal{S}^p(B; \Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{F})$. Observe that every element of $\Gamma_B \mathcal{S}^p(B; \Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{F})$ arises in this way. Given a p -cochain c on a small neighbourhood of $b \in B$ with values in $\Gamma \mathcal{F}$, we can make it a level $p0$ -cochain by defining $c(\sigma, e) = c(\sigma)$ for all $e \in E_b$. Taking germs gives an element of $E_1^{p0}(N)$. Thus $\psi_1^{p0}: E_1^{p0}(N) \rightarrow E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler})$ is an isomorphism.

Now suppose that $\gamma \in N_{\text{fg}}^{p0}$. Then c_e has values in some finitely generated submodule A_i of $\mathcal{F}(V_{e_i})$. The resulting sections of $\mathcal{F}$ are in a submodule of the finitely generated module $\Pi_i A_i$. Since R is noetherian, this submodule is finitely generated. We thus have a finitely generated module of sections, a finitely generated submodule of $\Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{F}$. Thus we have an element of $\mathcal{C}^p(B; \Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{F})$ and it follows that $\psi(\gamma) \in E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}'')$. Going backwards, starting from an element of $E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}'')$, we get cochains with values in a finitely generated submodule of $\Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{F}$ and so get an element of N_{fg}^{p0} . Thus $\psi_1'^{p0}$ maps $E_1^{p0}(N_{\text{fg}})$ isomorphically onto the submodule $E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}'')$ of $E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}')$.

To get information on $\psi_1'^{pq}$ for $q > 0$, we use dimension shifting. For this, we need some preliminaries.

Lemma 10.2. $N_{\text{fg}}^{p\bullet}, \text{Ler}'^{p\bullet}$ and $\text{Ler}''^{p\bullet}$ are exact functors from G -sheaves on F to cochain complexes.

Proof. $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(F; _)$ is an exact functor from sheaves on F to cochain complexes of fine sheaves on F . As fine sheaves on F are Γ_F -acyclic, $\{\Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(E_b; _)\}$ is an exact functor from G -sheaves on F to cochain complexes of locally constant sheaves on B . Thus $\mathcal{S}^p(B; \Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(E_b; _))$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^p(B; \Gamma_{E_b} \mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^\bullet(E_b; _))$ are exact functors to cochain complexes of fine sheaves on B . Taking sections over B , it follows that $\text{Ler}'^{p\bullet}$ and $\text{Ler}''^{p\bullet}$ are exact functors to cochain complexes.

The functor from G -sheaves over F to bundle sheaves over E is exact. The functor from bundle sheaves to level elements of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}$ is exact. From a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$ of bundle sheaves, we get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{A})$ is fine and therefore Γ_E -acyclic, the sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_E \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_E \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Gamma_E \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 0$ is also exact. $\square$

Definition 10.3. Let $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d)$ be a cochain complex of sheaves over X . We say that $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d)$ is *fine* if, for every locally finite covering $\{U_\alpha\}$, there exist endomorphisms θ_α which commute with the coboundary operator d and satisfy $|\theta_\alpha| \subseteq \bar{U}_\alpha$ and $\sum \theta_\alpha = 1$.

Lemma 10.4. *It is sufficient to check fineness for a cofinal set of locally finite coverings.*

Proof. If $\{V_\beta\}$ refines $\{U_\alpha\}$ and we have endomorphisms θ_β for $\{V_\beta\}$, choose $i(\beta)$ with $V_\beta \subseteq U_{i(\beta)}$ and define $\lambda_\alpha = \sum \theta_\beta$ over the β with $i(\beta) = \alpha$. $\square$

Lemma 10.5. *If X is paracompact, the complex $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d)$ is fine and $H^n(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) = 0$, then $H^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $\gamma \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}^n$ and $d\gamma = 0$. Then, for each $x \in X$, $d\gamma(x) = 0$. Since $H^n(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) = 0$, there exists $c_x \in \mathcal{C}_x^{n-1}$ with $dc_x = \gamma(x)$. There exists a neighbourhood N_x of x and a section s_x over N_x with $s_x(x) = c_x$. The section ds_x of $\mathcal{C}^n$ agrees with γ at x and so on some neighbourhood N'_x of x . As X is paracompact, we can take a locally finite refinement U_α of the covering $\{N'_x\}$ with sections s_α such that $ds_\alpha = \gamma|_{U_\alpha}$.

Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be a shrinking of $\{U_\alpha\}$ and let $\{\theta_\alpha\}$ be fineness endomorphisms for $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d)$ with respect to $\{V_\alpha\}$. Defining it to be 0 outside $\bar{V}_\alpha$ makes $\theta_\alpha s_\alpha$ a global section. Put $s = \sum \theta_\alpha s_\alpha$. This is meaningful since $\theta_\alpha(x) \neq 0$ for only finitely many α . As x has a neighbourhood meeting only finitely many of the U_α , it has a neighbourhood in which s is a finite sum of continuous functions and so is continuous. But

$$ds(x) = d \sum \theta_\alpha s_\alpha(x) = \sum \theta_\alpha ds_\alpha(x) = \sum \theta_\alpha \gamma(x) = \gamma(x).$$

We thus have $s \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}^{n-1}$ with $ds = \gamma$. $\square$

Lemma 10.6. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is fine then so is $(\mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{p\bullet}, \partial'')$.*

Proof. Since F is compact there is a cofinal set of coverings of E of the form $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \times V_{\alpha i})$ where $1 \leq i \leq n_\alpha < \infty$ and the $\varphi_\alpha: U_\alpha \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ are the coordinate functions. We can assume that the coverings $\{U_\alpha\}$ of B are locally finite. Shrink this covering to $\{U'_\alpha\}$. For each $b \in B$, choose an index $\eta(b)$ such that $b \in U'_{\eta(b)}$ and define endomorphisms θ_α by setting for the level cochain c and level pq -simplex $\sigma = (u, w): (\Delta^p, \Delta^p \times \Delta^q) \rightarrow (B, E)$,

$$\theta_\alpha(c)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} c(\sigma) & \text{if } \eta(u(0)) = \alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $u(0)$ is the first vertex of u . Then $\theta_\alpha(c)$ is 0 outside $\pi^{-1}(\bar{U}'_\alpha)$ so θ_α induces an endomorphism of $\mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}$ with support in $\pi^{-1}(\bar{U}'_\alpha)$ and $\sum \theta_\alpha = 1$. Also θ_α commutes with ∂'' since only the u part of σ is used in its definition.

As $\mathcal{F}$ is fine, there are endomorphisms $\epsilon_{\alpha i}$ with support in $\bar{V}_{\alpha i}$ and $\sum_i \epsilon_{\alpha i} = 1$. Now $\mathcal{A}|\varphi(U_\alpha \times F) \approx U_\alpha \times \mathcal{F}$. Let $\epsilon'_{\alpha i}$ be the endomorphism of the left hand side corresponding to $1 \times \epsilon_{\alpha i}$ on the right. Let $\lambda_{\alpha i}$ be the endomorphism of $\mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq} = \mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}(\mathcal{R}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ defined by $\theta_\alpha \otimes \epsilon'_{\alpha i}$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ and 0 outside of $\pi^{-1}(\bar{U}'_\alpha)$. These patch since $\theta_\alpha = 0$ outside $\pi^{-1}(\bar{U}'_\alpha)$ and clearly $\lambda_{\alpha i}$ has support in $\varphi(U_\alpha \times V_{\alpha i})$. Also $\sum_i \lambda_{\alpha i} = \theta_\alpha \otimes 1$, both sides being 0 outside of $\pi^{-1}(\bar{U}'_\alpha)$ so $\sum_{\alpha i} \lambda_{\alpha i} = 1$ as required. Moreover $\lambda_{\alpha i}$ commutes with ∂'' . $\square$

Lemma 10.7. $E_1^{pq}(\mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq}) = 0$ for $q > 0$.

Proof. This is local so we can assume that $E = B \times F$. Let $\gamma \in (\mathcal{N}_{\text{fg}}^{pq})_e$ and suppose $\partial''\gamma = 0$. Then γ is the germ at $e = (e_1, e_2)$ of some level pq -cochain

$c: S_p(U) \times S_q(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(W)$ for some neighbourhood $W = U \times V$ of e . The values $c(u, v)$ all lie in some finitely generated submodule A of $\mathcal{A}(W)$ and we have $\partial''c = 0$. For every p -simplex u of U , we have a q -cocycle $c_u \in S^q(V; A)$. Since F is HLC, there exists a neighbourhood V' of $e_2 \in F$ such that the inclusion $V' \rightarrow V$ induces the zero map $H^q(V; A) \rightarrow H^q(V'; A)$. Thus we have a $(q-1)$ -cochain $c'_u \in S^{q-1}(V'; A)$ such that $\partial c'_u = c_u|_{V'}$. Putting $c'(u, v) = c'_u(v)$ defines a level $(p, q-1)$ -cochain of $W' = U \times V'$ with values in A and with $\partial''c' = c|_{W'}$. Passing to germs (including taking germs of elements of A) gives an element $\tau \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{fig}}^{p, q-1}$ with $\partial''\tau = \sigma$. $\square$

Lemma 10.8. *Suppose the G -sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ over F is fine. Then $E_1^{pq}(N_{\text{fig}}(\mathcal{Q})) = 0$ for $q > 0$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemmas 10.6 and 10.7. $\square$

We have now established the conditions for dimension shifting. We have natural transformations $\psi_1^{p0}: E_1^{p0}(N_{\text{fig}}) \rightarrow E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}')$, $\eta_1^{p0}: E_1^{p0}(N_{\text{fig}}) \rightarrow E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}'')$ and $i_1^{p0}: E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}'') \rightarrow E_1^{p0}(\text{Ler}')$ induced by the inclusion $i: \text{Ler}'' \rightarrow \text{Ler}'$. These satisfy $\psi_1^{p0} = i_1^{p0}\eta_1^{p0}$ and commute with the maps given by the first differentials of the double complexes. Since, for fixed p , $E_1^{pq}(N_{\text{fig}})$, $E_1^{pq}(\text{Ler}')$ and $E_1^{pq}(\text{Ler}'')$ are connected sequences of functors which vanish in positive dimensions on fine sheaves over F , we have natural transformations $\psi_1^{pq}: E_1^{pq}(N_{\text{fig}}) \rightarrow E_1^{pq}(\text{Ler}')$, $\eta_1^{pq}: E_1^{pq}(N_{\text{fig}}) \rightarrow E_1^{pq}(\text{Ler}'')$ and $i_1^{pq}: E_1^{pq}(\text{Ler}'') \rightarrow E_1^{pq}(\text{Ler}')$ which extend these transformations to positive q . These transformations are unique, they commute with the first differentials and satisfy $\psi_1^{pq} = i_1^{pq}\eta_1^{pq}$. Further, since η_1^{p0} is an isomorphism, so are the η_1^{pq} for all q .

We now pass to page 2 of the spectral sequences by taking cohomology with respect to the first differentials. The map $\psi_2^{pq}: E_2^{pq}(N_{\text{fig}}) \rightarrow E_2^{pq}(\text{Ler}')$ induced by the map ψ' of double complexes is the composite $\psi_2^{pq} = i_2^{pq}\eta_2^{pq}$. But i_2^{pq} and η_2^{pq} are isomorphisms. Thus $\psi': N_{\text{fig}} \rightarrow \text{Ler}'$ induces an isomorphism of the spectral sequences from page 2. Combining this with Theorem 9.10 gives

Theorem 10.9. *Suppose that the ring R is noetherian, the bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ has a connection, E is paracompact and HLC and that the fibre F is compact. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a bundle sheaf over E . Then the map $\psi'\rho: \text{LS}_{\text{fig}} \rightarrow \text{Ler}'$ induces an isomorphism of the Leray-Serre and Leray spectral sequences from page 2 onwards.*

For A-S cohomology, the requirement that E be HLC can be weakened to B locally path connected.

11. COMPARISON OF SWAN AND LERAY

Let G be a discrete group. For any G -module A , we can form the locally constant sheaf $A \times_G EG \rightarrow BG$. This has stalks (fibres) A and the action of an element $g \in G$ on A is given by tracking the isomorphisms of fibres around a path in BG corresponding to the element g of the fundamental group G of BG . The global section functor Γ_{BG} is equivalent to the G -invariants functor I^G . Thus we may calculate the right derived functors $R^p I^G(A)$ by resolving the sheaf $A \times_G EG$, applying Γ_{BG} and taking cohomology.

Consider a G -sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on the compact G -space X . Then by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.3, BG and X_G are paracompact. By Lemma 3.1, BG is locally contractible and so is HLC. It follows by Theorem 3.5 that, if X is HLC, then so is

X_G . Thus we have the conditions for the use of cochain resolutions for the Swan and Leray spectral sequences.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 For the Swan spectral sequence, we first use the A-S cochain resolution, obtaining $\bar{S}^q(X; \mathcal{F})$ and take global sections $\Gamma_X \bar{S}^q(X; \mathcal{F})$. We then resolve as above, using A-S cochains of BG . This gives us for the Swan spectral sequence, the double complex

$$\Gamma_{BG} \bar{S}^p(BG; \Gamma_X \bar{S}^q(X; \mathcal{F})),$$

that is, $\bar{\text{Ler}}^{pq}$ for the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_G$ over $X_G = X \times_G EG$. $\square$

We are now in a position to prove Theorems 2.3 and 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 The translation of the double complexes gives a translation of the filtered targets of their spectral sequences. By Theorem 2.4, the spectral sequences are isomorphic from page 2 onwards. Therefore their targets are also isomorphic. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.2 By assumption, $\mathcal{F}$ is Γ_X^G -acyclic, that is, $R^n \Gamma_X^G \mathcal{F} = 0$ for $n > 0$. By Theorem 2.3, $H_G^n(X; \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $n > 0$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. W. Barnes, *Spectral sequence constructors in algebra and topology*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 No. 317, 1985.
- [2] G. E. Bredon, *Sheaf theory*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 170, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [3] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [4] A. Dress, *Zur Spektralsequenz von Faserungen*, *Inventiones math.* 3 (1967), 172–178.
- [5] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970.
- [6] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1952.
- [7] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Herman, Paris, 1958.
- [8] A. Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique*, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 9 (1957), 119–221.
- [9] A. T. Lundell and S. Weingram, *The topology of CW complexes*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1969.
- [10] J. McCleary, *User's guide to spectral sequences*, Publish or Perish Press 1985.
- [11] A. S. Sikora, *Torus and $\mathbb{Z}/p$ actions on manifolds*, *Topology* 43 (2004), 725–748.
- [12] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- [13] N. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1951.
- [14] R. G. Swan, *A new method in fixed point theory*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 34 (1960), 1–16.
- [15] R. G. Swan, *The theory of sheaves*, University of Chicago Press, 1964.

1 LITTLE WONGA ROAD, CREMORNE, NSW 2090, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: donwb@iprimus.com.au